

HYDROLOGIC INVESTIGATION

FOR THE

BANYAN BAY SITE

Prepared for

Banyan Bay Development, Inc.

March 1982

81-227.3



GEE & JENSON Engineers, Architects,

Planners, Inc.

March 19, 1982

2090 Palm Beach Lakes Blvd. Drawer No. 4600, West Palm Beach, FL 33402 305/683-3301 Telex 513472 G&J WPB

Mr. Georg Koszulinski Avatar Properties, Inc. 201 Alhambra Circle Coral Gables, Florida 33134

Re: Report entitled "Hydrogeologic Investigation of the Banyan Bay Site"

Dear Mr. Koszulinski:

This report presents a detailed technical evaluation of raw water supply development potential and impacts associated with groundwater withdrawals.

The findings of the investigation indicate there is sufficient groundwater in the surficial aquifer system underlying the Banyan Bay site to meet proposed demands at build-out. Utilizing hydrologically sound wellfield operating and management practices, this withdrawal rate (0.379 MGD) is expected to have negligible impact on the water resources.

Very truly yours,

Gee & Jenson Engineers-Architects-Planners, Inc.

hidi Vando

Heidi Vandor Hydrogeologist

HV/nc 81-227.3

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page No
SUMMARY	iii
LIST OF FIGURES	x
LIST OF TABLES	xi
LIST OF APPENDICES	xii
1.0 INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Purpose	1
1.2 Objectives	1
1.3 <u>Scope</u>	4
2.0 WELL CONSTRUCTION	7
2.1 Scope of Work	7
2.2 Method of Construction	8
2.2.1 Observation Well Construction	8
2.2.2 Test (Supply) Well Construction	9
3.0 GEOLOGY	10
4.0 WATER QUALITY	15
4.1 Off-Site Water Quality	15
4.2 On-Site Water Quality	16
5.0 AQUIFER PERFORMANCE TEST	19
5.1 General Description	19
5.2 Methods of Data Analysis	21
5.2.1 Theis Method	24
5.2.2 Jacob Method	27
5.2.3 Hantush Method	29
5.2.4 Hantush - Jacob Method	34

TABLE OF CONTENTS

		Page NO.
5.3	Results	36
5.4	Analysis of Results	37
5.5	Wellfield Design and Management	38
6.0	IMPACT EVALUATION	40
6.1	Projected Cone of Influence	40
6.2	Groundwater Withdrawal Impacts on the Hydrologic System	41
6.3	Mitigating Impacts on the Water Table and Surface Water Levels	48
FIGU	RES	
TABL	ES	

LIST OF REFERENCES

APPENDICES

SUMMARY

Gee & Jenson Engineers-Architects-Planners, Inc. was contracted by Avatar Properties, Inc. for Banyan Bay Development Corporation to perform a comprehensive hydrogeologic investigation at the proposed Banyan Bay Development site. The purpose of this program was to determine the availability of a potable water supply source from the surficial aquifer underlying the project property and its subsequent impacts on the existing hydrologic system, to satisfy DRI requirements and the Informational Adequacy Statement (IAS) regarding water supply development.

The proposed Banyan Bay development, at buildout in 1990, will consist of 251 acres with 1255 units. At 2.5 persons per dwelling unit, the projected population is 2886. The proposed raw water supply demand is 0.379 MGD on an average day basis and 0.669 MGD on a maximum day basis.

Objectives

The primary objectives of this hydrogeologic investigation area:

Define the geology of the site with respect to lithology, depth and thickness of the water producing zones and confining zones within the surficial aquifer.

Define the straigraphy of the geologic units in the surficial aquifer across the property. Determine the groundwater gradient across the property.

- Determine the head differential between the water table system and the underlying potable supply producing zone.
 - Locate the fresh/saltwater interface on the property, if present.

Design and construct a test (supply) well maximizing use of available aquifer thickness for developing the most efficient well yield.

- Perform an aquifer performance test to determine the aquifer coefficients of transmissivity and storage of the potable water supply producing zone.
- Determine the leakance value of the confining or semi-confining zone overlying the potable supply producing zone.

iv

Determine the hydraulic relationship of the water producing zones and semi-confining zones within the surficial aquifer.

Determine the quality of groundwater in the surficial aquifer with respect to Department of Environmental Regulation (DER) potable drinking water standards and for design of the potable water treatment plant.

Design a wellfield for supplying buildout potable and irrigation water demands and propose a wellfield operating program to minimize adverse water withdrawal impacts.

Evaluate irrigation water demands and coordinate reuse of effluent with surface and groundwater supply sources during construction phases of the project.

Provide a well inventory and determine chloride and iron concentrations in non-permitted domestic and irrigation wells within 0.5 miles to the south and east of the property.

Design and construct SWIMM and Water Level Monitoring Programs in compliance with SFWMD requirements.

v

Conclusions

The surficial aquifer in the Banyan Bay area, consists of approximately 130 feet of marine sediments which are lithologically stratified into four permeable units (water transmitting) and three semi-confining units (limited water transmitting capacity). A 500 foot thick impermeable confining bed, the Hawthorn Formation underlies the surficial aquifer at 130 feet, thereby, effectively separating it from the saline Floridan Aquifer.

No correlations of water quality variation with depth can be discerned from the off-site well inventory. It shows chloride concentrations are low and consistent, and iron concentrations are highly variable. These two water quality characteristics have been confirmed in numerous other areas in Martin County and Florida where surficial aquifer water quality data has been analyzed.

There is no degradation in water quality due to pumping. On-site groundwater quality meets the DER recommended potable water quality standards except for iron, hydrogen sulfide and color. Concentrations of these three parameters can be readily reduced to acceptable potable levels by conventional lime softening and aeration water treatment methods.

vi

Analysis and evaluation of the aquifer performance test data provide the information base required for wellfield design. In order to meet a projected average day demand of 0.379 MGD, two wells will be required. These two wells will be operated on an alternating 24 hour schedule to allow each well to rest and the surrounding groundwater level to recover to static levels in between pumping episodes. Each well will be designed to produce 263 gpm. Peaking conditions will be met by storage facilities. The well spacing should be about 2,600 feet between the two wells to minimize drawdown impacts.

Treated water will be used to meet the potable and nonpotable water demands, except the golf course. Wastewater treatment plant effluent will ultimately be used for irrigation of the proposed golf course within the development. The total irrigation demands for the proposed golf course are estimated to be 0.287 MGD, based on approximately 1.5 inch/ acre/week for 45.5 acres. In the interim, until sufficient quantities of effluent area available, make-up water for irrigation demands will be met primarily by groundwater supplies, with minor surface water augmentation during year 6 of the development schedule.

vii

The projected cone of influence, for the proposed design withdrawal rate of 263 gpm for 24 hours will occur at a distance of approximately 1,300 feet from the production well. At this distance, withdrawal impacts on existing adjacent supply wells will be negligible.

The proposed withdrawal rate and wellfield operation schedule will not adversely impact adjacent supply wells or promote saltwater intrusion. Potential for saltwater intrusion is not relative to the Banyan Bay area because the fresh/ saltwater interface is located 4 to 5 miles east of the site.] The proposed wellfield operation schedule is also designed to minimize withdrawal impacts on surface waterbodies and the water table on the Banyan Bay property.

Water level and aquifer performance test data from the Banyan Bay property indicate proposed groundwater withdrawals for meeting potable and irrigation demands of the development will not adversely impact:

- The fresh/saltwater interface stability
- Groundwater quality in on-site or off-site wells
- . Adjacent supply well's operation or efficiency
 - Surface water levels

Environmental concerns relative to wet weather ponds

viii

These data have identified the need for careful planning and design with respect to drainage and storm water management retention and excavation for fill as these dewatering and runoff storage activities can impact the natural hydroperiod of the ephemeral ponds.

At various times of the year, water levels can be affected by the surrounding hydrologic conditions. Therefore, it is anticipated that water table elevations will continue to fluctuate during the dry season and wet season. Swales interconnecting the lake and preserve areas will have bottom elevations that vary such that runoff from the upstream basin will flow to downstream basins. Control of the system is to be accomplished by the use of structures at each of the lake outfalls. The Water Management System discharges to the river through four control structures. Three of these structures will be located adjacent to a large preserve area in the northwest corner of the project. The fourth will be located adjacent to a smaller preserve further south. All runoff discharged from the project will sheetflow through one of these preserve areas before entering the river.

ix -

LIST OF FIGURES

- Figure 1-1 Project Location Map
- Figure 1-2 Well Location Map
- Figure 1-3 Water Level Monitoring Network
- Figure 2-1 Generalized Well Construction Diagram
- Figure 3-1 Location of Lithologic Cross-Section

Figure 3-2 Lithologic Cross Section

Figure 4-1 Iron and Chloride Survey Map

Figure 5-1 Aquifer Test Well Configuration

- Figure 5-2 Jacob Method I Data Plot for Well OW-1D
- Figure 5-3 Jacob Method I Data Plot for Well OW-2D
- Figure 5-4 Jacob Method I Data Plot for Well OW-3D
- Figure 5-5 Jacob Method II Data Plot
- Figure 5-6 Hantush Method I Data Plot for Well OW-1D
- Figure 5-7 Hantush Method I Data Plot for Well OW-2D
- Figure 5-8 Hantush Method I Data Plot for Well OW-3D
- Figure 5-9 Hantush Method II Data Plot
- Figure 5-10 Hantush-Jacob Method Data Plot for Well OW-1D
- Figure 5-11 Hantush-Jacob Method Data Plot for Well OW-2D
- Figure 5-12 Hantush-Jacob Method Data Plot for Well OW-3D
- Figure 5-13 Proposed Supply Well Locations
- Figure 6-1 Drawdown vs Distance Graph for Selected Pumping Rates at t = 1 day
- Figure 6-2 Groundwater Hydraulic Gradient in the Production Zone 3/8/82

LIST OF TABLES

Table	2-1	Well Construction Data
Table	4-1	Well Inventory - February 27, 1982
Table	4-2	Groundwater Conductivity Surveys and Water Levels
Table	4-3	Conductivity and Temperature Data of PW-l During Aquifer Performance Test
Table	4-4	Potable Groundwater Quality of PW-1
Table	5-1	Summary of Aquifer Parameters
Table	5-2	Potable and Non-Potable Water Use Projections and Supply Sources
Table	6-1	Distance - Drawdown Calculations
Table	6-2	Groundwater Levels (in feet, below 1sd)
Table	6-3	Groundwater Levels (in feet, msl)
Table	6-4	Surface Water Level Data During the Aquifer Performance Test

APPENDICES

APPENDIX A - Lithology Descriptions

APPENDIX B - Aquifer Performance Test and Specific Capacity Test Data

1.0 INTRODUCTION

1.1 Purpose

Gee & Jenson Engineers-Architects-Planners, Inc. was contracted by Avatar Properties, Inc. for Banyan Bay Development Corporation to perform a comprehensive hydrogeologic investigation at the proposed Banyan Bay Development site (Figure 1-1). The purpose of this program was to determine the availability of a potable water supply source from the surficial aquifer underlying the project property and its subsequent impacts on the existing hydrologic system, to satisfy DRI requirements and the Informational Adequacy Statement (IAS) regarding water supply development.

The proposed Banyan Bay development, at buildout in 1990, will consist of 251 acres with 1255 units. At 2.3 persons per dwelling unit, the projected population is 2886. The proposed raw water supply demand is 0.379 MGD on an average day basis and 0.669 MGD on a maximum day basis.

1.2 Objectives

The primary objectives of this hydrogeologic investigation are:

- 1 -

Define the geology of the site with respect to lithology, depth and thickness of the water producing zones and confining zones within the surficial aquifer.

Define the stratigraphy of the geologic units in the surficial aquifer across the property.

Determine the groundwater gradient across the property.

Determine the head differential between the water table system and the underlying potable supply producing zone.

Locate the fresh/saltwater interface on the property, if present.

Design and construct a test (supply) well for maximizing use of available aquifer thickness for developing the most efficient well yield.

Perform an aquifer performance test to determine the aquifer coefficients of transmissivity and storage of the potable water supply producing zone.

- 2 -

Determine the leakance value of the confining or semi-confining zone overlying the potable supply producing zone.

Determine the hydraulic relationship of the water producing zones and semi-confining zones within the surficial aquifer.

Determine the quality of groundwater in the surficial aquifer with respect to Department of Environmental Regulation (DER) potable drinking water standards and for design of the potable water treatment plant.

Design a wellfield for supplying buildout potable and irrigation water demands and propose a wellfield operating program to minimize adverse water withdrawal impacts.

Evaluate irrigation water demands and coordinate reuse of effluent with surface and groundwater supply sources during construction phases of the project.

Provide a well inventory and determine chloride and iron concentrations in non-permitted domestic and irrigation wells within 0.5 miles to the south and east of the property.

Design and construct SWIMM and Water Level Monitoring Programs in compliance with SFWMD requirements.

1.3 Scope

The scope of work to accomplish the objectives are outlined below:

Test wells were constructed on the property (Figure 1-2). These wells consist of one test (supply) well (PW-1), 4 deep observation wells (OW-1D, OW-2D, OW-3D, OW-4D), and 2 shallow observation wells (OW-1S, OW-4S). Wells PW-1, OW-1D, OW-2D, OW-3D, OW-1S and existing well E-1 were used in the aquifer performance test. Each of these wells were used to determine the groundwater gradient across the property and evaluate the hydraulic relationship of the various water producing zones in the surficial aquifer system. Cutting samples were collected during drilling of these wells and described according to lithology. From this data, stratigraphic correlations were made across the

- 4 -

property and the thickness and lithologic character. of the water producing and semi-confining zones were determined.

A 72-hour aquifer performance test was conducted to determine on-site aquifer parameters (transmissivity, storage, leakance) for the purposes of wellfield design and management. These test data were also used to evaluate on and off-site impacts due to withdrawals from future supply wells at design (buildout) capacity for both potable and irrigation demands. Water quality samples taken from the supply well discharge during the test were analyzed for potable water quality standards.

A well inventory was performed on existing wells on and abutting the property (non-permitted areas) to the east and south within a 0.5 mile radius. Water samples were taken and analyzed for chloride and iron concentrations from these wells.

Head differentials between the water table and the potable producing zone were determined by comparing water levels in the shallow monitoring well network (WT-1 through WT-9), and water levels in wells

- 5 -

PW-1, OW-1D, OW-2D, OW-3D, OW-4D and E-1 (Figure 1-3). In addition, these data would provide information on the hydraulic relationships between the various zones and the impacts that pumping would have on the water table and surface water bodies.

Evaluation of all the hydrogeologic data was made to design the wellfield and recommend optimum operating and management practices.

Observation wells OW-1D, OW-1S, OW-4D and OW-4S will be maintained and monitored in compliance with SFWMD requirements for a SWIMM and Water Level Monitoring Program.

- 6 -

2.0 WELL CONSTRUCTION

2.1 Scope of Work

Six test wells and one test (supply) well were constructed on the Banyan Bay property. Locations of these wells are shown in Figure 1-2. Table 2-1 lists observation well and test (supply) well construction data.

All wells were constructed using the mud rotary drilling method. During construction of each well, cutting samples were collected at five foot intervals and described according to lithology. These lithology descriptions are presented in Appendix A. Construction methodology is outlined in the next section. Figure 2-1 shows a generalized well construction diagram.

2.2 Method of Construction

2.2.1 Observation Well Construction

Six 2-inch observation wells were constructed on the Banyan Bay property identified as OW-1S, OW-1D, OW-2D, OW-3D, OW-4S and OW-4D. The six observation wells were constructed by drilling a nominal four inch hole to the designated well

- 7 -

depth. Two inch schedule 40 PVC casing was installed from land surface to the designated casing depth. Two inch schedule 40, #40 slot PVC screen was installed below the casing to the total depth of the well. Silica sand (0.75 mm) was used as annular gravel pack between the casing and the formation, from the bottom of the screen up to the Mis surface in the shallow wells. In the deep wells, the gravel pack was installed to 10 feet above the top of the (rodial flow screen. A 10 foot bentonite and grout plug was then installed on top of the gravel pack. The remaining annular space above the plug was filled with native sediments. The wells were developed with compressed air with a 175 cfm air compressor until the discharge water was free of drilling mud and formation fines. On completion of development, the wells were capped and a 30 inch x 30 inch x 4 inch reinforced concrete pad was constructed around each well.

2.2.2 Test (Supply) Well Construction

One test (supply) well, identified as PW-1, was constructed on the Banyan Bay property. Construction began on PW-1 by drilling a twenty-six inch hole from the surface down to a depth of 60 feet. Sixty feet of twenty inch steel casing was then installed and grouted to surface. After the cement

- 8 -

had set, a nominal twenty inch hole was drilled from the bottom of the steel casing to a depth of 130 feet. Twelve inch telescope size #80 slot stainless steel well screen was installed from 60 to 130 feet. Twelve inch schedule 40 PVC casing was installed from the top of the well screen to land surface. The annular space from the bottom of the well to the surface was gravel packed with 1/8 inch to 1/4 inch graded silica gravel. The well was then developed by air lifting with a 650 cfm air compressor until the discharge was clear of sediment and mud. A 6 foot x 6 foot x 12 inch reinforced concrete pad was constructed around the well. A three inch gravel tube for addition of gravel to the annulus was welded onto the outer casing of the well. A two inch water level tube was attached to the inner casing. The well was finished by welding a steel plate between the inner and outer casing, and a cap was installed to seal the top of the twelve inch inner casing.

9 .

3.0 GEOLOGY

A de	eterminatio	on of the hydrogeologic characteristics of an - 97 .
area	a are essen	tial to understanding the hydraulic properties
of u	underlying	water producing zones and their hydraulic
rela	ationship t	o one another and to adjacent confining strata.
Cutt	ing sample	s were collected from each of the test wells
duri	ing constru	ction and described according to lithology.
Deta	iled litho	logic descriptions for each well are presented
in Z	Appendix A.	Based upon these descriptions, the lithology
of t	he surfici:	al aquifer system in the Banyan Bay area can
be d	livided int	o four water producing zones separated by
thre	e semi-con	fining layers. Describing the units from the
surf	ace down t	hese are:
Jammel & Assc	1982	reed to review test data.
K = 14 A+/dag	Unit l:	Sand - water producing 5' 70
Kh = 0,01 Ft/ day	Unit 2:	Clayey sand - semi-confining 15' AQUIT ALL 63
Kh: 9F+/day	Unit 3:	Sand - water producing 7'
	Unit 4:	Sandy clay - semi-confining 5'
	Unit 5:	Sand, shell and limestone - water producing 25'
	Unit 6:	Sandy clay - semi-confining 5'
	Unit 7:	Sandy limestone and limestone with trace of
		clay - water producing 50' Rrohow
	Unit 8:	Silty clay and clayey limestone - confining
	Unit 9:	Clay (Hawthorn Formation) - confining

133

- 10 ·

A lithologic cross section, A to A' was drawn from west to east (from Well OW-4D to OW-1D) across the Banyan Bay property (Figure 3-1). The cross section depicted in Figure 3-2 shows the various units underlying the project area. The units consist of a series of marine sediments laid down in different depositional environments. The more permeable sediments consist of Units 1, 3, 5 and 7 and were deposited in high energy environments, while sediments with low permeability (Units 2, 4, 6, 8 and 9) were deposited in low energy environments, or, are weathered transition zones resulting from fluctuations in sea level. These units have a regional westerly dip of 10 to 20 feet, corresponding to the topography of the area.

Outlined below is a geologic description of the sediments underlying the Banyan Bay property.

Unit 1 is comprised of unconsolidated, light brown to grey, fine to medium grained silica sand with organic silts near the base of the unit. Thickness of this unit ranges from 2 to 6 feet, averaging approximately 5 feet. Thickness variations occur across the property where shallow depressions exist in the typically flat, east to west sloping topography of the site. Generally, this unit has a relatively constant thickness across the property.

- 11 -

Unit 2 is comprised of unconsolidated, light grey to brown, fine to medium grained silica sand, with abundant organic silts and light grey plastic calcareous clay. Thickness of this unit ranges from 3 to 15 feet, averaging approximately 10 feet. The top of this unit is encountered at about 5 feet below land surface.

Unit 3 is comprised of unconsolidated, tan to grey, fine to medium grained silica sand with iron staining. Thickness of this unit ranges from 5 to 17 feet, averaging approximately 7 feet. Top of this unit is generally encountered at depths of 14 to 16 feet below land surface.

Unit 4 consists of unconsolidated, light grey, fine to medium grained silty silica sand, greenish brown calcareous clay and dark brown to black organic silts with minor shell fragments. Unit 4 is a semi-confining zone separating Units 3 and 5. Thickness of Unit 4 is approximately 5 to 6 feet across the property and occurs at depths of about 20 to 30 feet below land surface.

Unit 5 is comprised of unconsolidated light grey, fine to medium grained, silica and carbonate sand and abundant white and black, pelecypod and gastropod shell fragments, and lenses of lithified grey to tan limestone consisting of

- 12 -

silica sand and shell fragments in a micrite matrix. At approximately 50 feet below land surface, Unit 5 increases in clay content until it grades into the clay confining layer of Unit 6.

Unit 6 is a semi-confining sandy clay layer 3 to 5 feet thick at depths generally between 55 and 60 feet below land surface. The clay is grey, somewhat plastic and calcareous.

Unit 7 is the primary potable water production zone in the Banyan Bay area. Lithologically, Unit 7 is a dark grey to black, well lithified, fossiliferous limestone with unconsolidated, fine grained, grey to black carbonate sand and shell filling solution holes. Seams of white calcareous clay become more prevelant below 100 feet of depth. The white calcareous clay increases significantly from 100 to 130 feet below land surface. Unit 7 grades into the silty clay and clayey limestone of Unit 8. Unit 8 is not a water producing unit and is the base of the surficial aquifer in this area.

Unit 9 is an olive green, stiff, plastic, calcareous, silty, phosphatic clay which is characteristic of the Hawthorn Formation. This formation is approximately 500 feet thick in the Stuart area and effectively separates the

- 13 -

potable surficial aquifer from the artesian, highly mineralized waters of the Floridan Aquifer.

In summary, the surficial aquifer in the Banyan Bay area consists of approximately 130 feet of marine sediments which are lithologically stratified into four permeable units (water transmitting) and three semi-confining units (limited water transmitting capacity). A thick impermeable confining bed, the Hawthorn Formation underlies the surficial aquifer at 130 feet, thereby effectively separating it from the saline Floridan Aquifer.

4.0 WELL QUALITY

4.1 Off-Site Water Quality

The off-site well inventory for the Banyan Bay area was performed on February 27, 1982 to obtain existing background water quality data, and determine water quality variations in the area in compliance with SFWMD regulatory requirements.

The inventory consisted of identifying all existing wells in non-permitted areas to the south and east within 0.5 miles radius of the property boundaries. The 38 off-site wells are shown in Figure 4-1. Table 4-1 lists the data that was available from the wells.

Water samples were collected from 31 of the 38 inventoried wells and analyzed for chloride and iron concentration. Access was not available to the remaining 7. Any available construction information was also recorded so that correlations of water quality variations with depth could be made (Table 4-1).

- 15 -

It was found that the use of the wells was either domestic or irrigation. Where the data were available, it was found that most of the wells were 2 inches in diameter with depths ranging from 40 to 140 feet. The chloride concentrations were low, ranging from 15 to 38 mg/l. Iron concentrations showed a broad range from 0.02 to 5.13 mg/l which is typical of the surficial aquifer. Twenty-two samples had iron in excess of the recommended potable standard of 0.3 mg/l.

No correlations of water quality variation with depth can be discerned from this information. It shows a constant water quality with respect to chloride concentrations and a highly variable water quality with respect to iron concentrations. These two water quality characteristics have been confirmed in numerous other areas in Martin County and Florida as a whole where surficial aquifer water quality data has been analyzed.

4.2 On-Site Water Quality

All on-site wells, including those constructed as part of this study, are shown in Figure 1-2.

Initial water quality surveys on the Banyan Bay property consisted of conductivity surveys in observation wells OW-1D

- 16 -

and OW-4D (Table 4-2). Well OW-4D, located adjacent to the St. Lucie River, exhibited conductivities ranging from 440 to 900 umhos/cm on February 21, 1982, and from 443 to 730 umhos/cm on February 23, 1982, through the screened section of the well (60 to 135 feet). These two surveys were taken during the aquifer performance test to determine if pumping would cause deterioration of water quality. The results of these surveys show the presence of potable water through the entire thickness of the surficial aquifer in this area and no water quality degradation as a result of continuous pumping for 3 days at a rate of 741 gpm. These data are supported by conductivity surveys in Well OW-1D which exhibited values ranging from 314 to 670 umhos/cm through the screened section of the well. These wells indicate that the production zone at the Banyan Bay site is not affected by the fresh/ saltwater interface which is located east of the Banyan Bay site, along the Atlantic coast line, at a distance of approximately 4 to 5 miles. Interchange of saline water from St. Lucie River does not appear to occur except possibly in Unit 1 of the surficial aquifer. Water quality in OW-4S, which extends into and monitors Unit 3, is also in the potable range of 355 umhos/cm. These data, supported by similar data collected at Martin Downs and Miles Grant developments, indicate the potential for saltwater intrusion from these tidal riverine systems is not a significant threat.

- 17 -

During the aquifer performance test, the discharge water was measured for conductivity and temperature. These data showed the conductivity of the potable water ranged from 389 to 510 umhos/cm (Table 4-3) during the aquifer performance Water samples for potable quality analysis were taken test. after 1 hour and 72 hours of pumping at a rate of 741 gpm. These data are presented in Table 4-4. These analyses indicate the groundwater in the potable supply zone (Unit 7), beneath Banyan Bay to be hard, high in total dissolved solids, hydrogen sulfide and iron concentration, and low in chloride, sulfate and fluoride concentration. In addition, there is no degradation in water quality due to pumping as evidenced by these two analyses. This groundwater meets the DER recommended potable water quality standards except for iron, hydrogen sulfide and color. Concentrations of these three parameters can be readily reduced to acceptable potable levels by conventional lime softening and aeration water treatment methods.

- 18 -

5.0 AQUIFER PERFORMANCE TEST

5.1 General Description

An aquifer performance test was conducted on the Banyan Bay property to determine the site specific aquifer parameters necessary for the planning and management of the water resources of the area and the proposed public water supply system. It involved pumping one well at a constant rate of 741 gpm for a duration of 72 hours and observing the resulting drawdowns and changes in water levels in nearby observation wells and ponds. The site of the test was in the southeast part of the property (Figure 1-2).

The well network and instrumentation for the test consisted of one 12-inch test (supply) well (PW-1), which was the discharge well, and four 2-inch observation wells (OW-1D, OW-2D, OW-3D and OW-1S), which consisted of three deep wells constructed similarly to PW-1 and one shallow well which penetrated to a shallow producing zone. More specific construction data are presented in Table 2-1 and Figure 2-1. The aquifer test site configuration is shown in Figure 5-1 indicating general construction and relative depths of wells. Two staff gages (SG-1 and SG-2) were also installed in the ponds nearest the aquifer test site to measure any

- 19 -

drawdown that may have occurred due to pumping. In addition, a temporary rain gage (RG-1) was also installed to measure the occurrence of any rainfall during the test. An automatic water level recorder was installed on the 6-inch well (E-1), in the northeast corner of the property. The recorder was used to measure the impacts of pumping in the primary producing zone of the surficial aquifer over a long distance (2700 ft.), in addition to water level impacts that may have been caused by off-site pumping. Both pre and post testing water level data were collected which was utilized in the evaluation of the aquifer test data.

The data from the test were analyzed using analytical techniques to obtain aquifer parameters. The conjunctive use of drilling data, lithologic interpretations and analytical solutions were used to evaluate the surficial aquifer system underlying the project site. Comparison of analytical solutions with actual collected field data was made to obtain the aquifer parameters.

The methods of analysis used in this study are presented in the following section. These consist of the Jacob Method (Method I and Method II), the Hantush Method (Method I and Method II), and the Hantush-Jacob Method. The methods selected are those that provide best correlation with actual

- 20 -

field data and where the underlying assumptions best fit the project site.

As discussed earlier, analysis of the aquifer performance test provided the aquifer parameters necessary for the design of the wellfield system and impact evaluations. These parameters are outlined below. (Lohman, 1972)

5.2 Methods of Data Analysis

The successful plan for developing a potable water supply system at the Banyan Bay site depends on two inherent characteristics of the surficial aquifer; the ability of the aquifer to store and transmit water. It also depends on the rate of leakage from overlying semi-confining beds. The amount of water that can be withdrawn from the surficial aquifer depends chiefly upon the aquifer's capacity to transmit water from the areas of recharge to points of withdrawal, the amount of water available in the areas of recharge to replace the water that moves to points of withdrawal, and the amount of water available from storage as the water level declines. At Banyan Bay, recharge to the surficial aquifer is chiefly from rainfall recharge as leakage through the overlying semi-confining beds.

The coefficient of permeability, P, of the material comprising a formation, is a measure of the capacity of the material to transmit water. The coefficient of permeability was expressed by Neinzer (1923) as the rate of flow of water in gallons per day through a cross section 1-foot square under a hydraul/ic gradient of 100 percent. Theis (1935) introduced the term coefficient of transmissibility, T, now called transmissivity, which is expressed as the rate of flow of water, at the prevailing water temperature, in gallons per day, through a vertical strip of the aquifer 1 foot wide extending to full, saturated height of the aquifer under a hydraulic gradient/ of 100 percent. A hydraulic gradient of 100 percent means\a 1 foot drop of water level in 1 foot of flow distance. Thus, the coefficient of transmissivity, T, is equal to the coefficient of permeability, P, multiplied by the thickness of the aquifer.

The amount of water available from storage as the water level declines depends on the coefficient of storage of the aquifer. The coefficient of storage, S, is the volume of water in cubic feet that an aquifer with a base 1-foot square releases from or takes into storage as the water level declines 1 foot.

J:40

- 22 -
The prediction of the ultimate water-level drawdown that will result from pumping is a common problem of economic importance. Mathematically, the problem is one of computing drawdowns for the steady-state condition, which occurs when the rate of withdrawal has been balanced entirely by the capture of water from sources outside the aquifer -- that is, when water is no longer being withdrawn from storage within the aquifer. The capture may consist of an increase in the rate of recharge to the aquifer, a decrease in the rate of discharge from the aquifer, or, more probably, a combination of both (Theis, 1940).

When water is being withdrawn from an artesian aquifer, the potentiometric surface of the water in the aquifer is lowered throughout a large circular area that has the well at its center. Because all confining beds probably are permeable to some degree, the lowering of the potentiometric surface results in a change in the rate of leakage through the confining bed. The change may consist of a decrease in the rate of leakage out of the aquifer or an increase in the rate of leakage into the aquifer, but in either case the change results in a net increase to the supply of water to the aquifer, and, therefore, constitutes capture.

- 23 -

As the permeability of an effective confining bed is small, the leakage through the confining bed ordinarily is only a small fraction of a gallon per day per square foot. However, because the cone of depression that will be created by pumping of potable water-supply wells at Banyan Bay will encompass many hundred thousands of square feet, leakage through the confining bed will result in the capture by the surficial aquifer of a considerable quantity of water. The rate of leakage is generally called leakance (L), which may be expressed as the amount of water in gallons per day per square foot that moves into or out of an aquifer through the confining bed.

5.2.1 Theis Method

Theis was the first to develop a nonsteady state formula which introduces the time factor and storage coefficient. In order to use the methods, basic underlying limiting conditions and assumptions must be met (Kruseman, 1976). These are listed as follows:

the aquifer has a seemingly infinite areal extent
 the aquifer is homogeneous, isotropic and of
 uniform thickness over the area influenced by the
 pumping test

21.

- prior to pumping, the piezometric surface and/or phreatic surface are (nearly) horizontal over the area influenced by the pumping test
- the aquifer is pumped at a constant discharge rate the pumped well penetrates the entire aquifer and thus receives water from the entire thickness of the aquifer by horizontal flow

- the aquifer is confined

- the flow to the well is in an unsteady state, i.e. the drawdown differences with time are not negligible nor is the hydraulic gradient constant with time
- the water removed from storage is discharged instantaneously with decline of head the diameter of the pumped well is very small, i.e. the storage in the well can be neglected

The Theis nonequilibrium formula is:

$$s = \frac{114.6Q}{T}$$
 W(u) (1)
 $u = \frac{1.87 r^2 s}{Tt}$ (2)

where:

S	=	drawdown at any point of observation in
		the vicinity of a well discharging at a
		constant rate (ft)
Q	é	discharge from pumping well (gpm)
r	==	distance from discharging well to point
ан 1. т		of observation (ft)
t	=	time since pumping started (days)
Т		transmissivity (gpd/ft)
S	=	coefficient of storage (dimensionless)
W(u)	=	well function

- 26 -

The restrictive assumptions on which this method is based limits the applicability of this method to the aquifer tests conducted in the study area. However, the nonequilibrium formula has been successfully applied to many problems of groundwater flow in other areas and is the basis for the development of many methods of data analysis.

5.2.2 Jacob Method:

The Jacob method of aquifer analysis is based on the Theis formula (Lohman, 1972) however, the conditions for its application are somewhat more restricted. It is based on the following assumptions:

- the same conditions as for the Theis method (Section 5.2.1)
- the values of u are small (u<0.01), i.e., r is small and t is large (the condition that u is small will be satisfied in confined aquifers for moderate distances from the pumped well in a short period of time. For unconfined aquifers, longer periods of pumping may be required)

Two procedures can be used to calculate the values for transmissivity and storage coefficient.

- 27 -

Method I

The first procedure involved the plotting of drawdown against time on semi-logarithmic paper for each of the observation wells. A straight line is drawn through the points and a value for t_0 is obtained where s = 0. The slope of this line is Δs . Then T and S may be obtained by substituting into the following equations:

$$= \frac{264Q}{\Delta s}$$

(3)

$$S = \frac{0.3Tt_0}{r^2}$$
(4)

where:

т

t_o = intercept of the straight line at zero drawdown
 (days)

Method II

The second procedure involved the plotting of data from the observation wells for specified times on a drawdown vs. distance from pumped well graph. The equations used were:

- 28 -

$$T = \frac{528Q}{\Delta s}$$

$$S = \frac{0.3Tt}{r_0^2}$$

where:

r_o = intercept at zero drawdown of the extended straight line (ft)

5.2.3 Hantush Method

Hantush developed several methods of analyzing aquifer test data in semi-confined aquifers (Kruseman, 1976). The Hantush I and Hantush II Methods have been used in the analysis. Listed below are the assumptions and limiting conditions that must be satisfied:

- the aquifer is semi-confined

the flow to the well is in an unsteady state, i.e. the drawdown differences with time are not negligible nor is the hydraulic gradient constant with time

(5)

(6)

the water removed from storage is discharged instantaneously with decline of head
the well diameter is very small, so that the storage in the well can be neglected
the steady-state drawdown should be (approximately) known

Hantush I

The test data are plotted on semi-logarithmic paper to obtain a time-drawdown curve, with time on the logarithmic scale. The inflection point is determined by extrapolating the maximum drawdown and solving the following equation:

$$s_{p} = \frac{1}{2} s_{m}$$
(7)

where:

 $s_p = drawdown at the inflection point (ft)$ $s_m = maximum drawdown (ft)$

Plotting s_p on the time-drawdown curve gives the value of time at the inflection point (t_p) from the time-axis. The slope of the curve (Δs_p) is then calculated at the inflection point. The values of s_p and Δs_p are then substituted into the following equation to solve for $e^{r/L} K_0$ (r/L):

- 30 -

2.3
$$\frac{s_p}{\Delta s_p} = e^{r/L} K_0 (r/L)$$

where:

K_o = modified Bessel function of the second kind and zero order

(8)

 Δs_p = slope of the curve at the inflection point (i.e. the drawdown difference per log cycle of time) (ft.)

L = leakage factor of the water bearing layer (ft)

Then solve for r/L using a table of the modified Bessel function and calculate L. The transmissivity may now be calculated using the formula:

$$T = \frac{2.3Q}{4\pi\Delta s_{p}} e^{-r/L}$$
(9)

where:

 $e^{-r/L} = modified$ Bessel function e^{-x}

The storage is calculated by introduction of the appropriate values into the following equation:

31 -

$$S = \frac{r4Tt_p}{2Lr^2}$$

To calculate the hydraulic conductivity of the semi-pervious layer, first determine the hydraulic resistance by:

$$c = \frac{L^2}{T}$$
(11)

where:

Then substitute c into the following equation:

$$K' = \frac{b'}{C}$$
(12)

where:

b' = thickness of the semi-pervious layer (ft)
K' = hydraulic conductivity of the semi-pervious
layer (gpd/ft²).

(10)

Hantush II

The slope (Δs_p) of each semi-logarithmic time-drawdown plot, used in Method I, is plotted on semi-logarithmic paper versus distance (r), with Δs_p on the logarithmic scale. A line of best-fit is drawn through the plotted points and is a graphic representation of the equation:

$$r = 2.3L (\log \frac{2.3Q}{4\pi T} - \log \Delta s_p)$$
 (13)

Determine the slope of the line (Δr) and extend the straight line until it intercepts the abscissa and read the value of Δs_0 . Having obtained the values of Δr and Δs_0 , calculate L and T from the following equations:

$$L = \frac{1}{2.3} \Delta r \tag{14}$$

where:

 $\Delta r = slope of the line$

$$T = 2.3 \frac{Q}{4\pi\Delta s_0}$$

- 33 -

(15)

where:

 Δs_0 = intercept along the abscissa

Then S, c, and K' are calculated for each observation well using equations (10), (11) and (12) in Hantush I.

5.2.4 Hantush - Jacob Method

Hantush and Jacob (Lohman, 1972) derived the equation below for nonsteady radial flow in an infinite leaky confined aquifer.

Type curves were developed by Cooper and Hantush (Lohman, 1972).

$$s = \frac{Q}{4\pi T} L(u, v)$$
(16)

where:

L = leakance expressed as a function of u and v.

To calculate the aquifer parameters, the drawdown data from the observation wells are plotted on semi-logarithmic graph paper against t/r^2 on the logarithmic scale. By curve matching with the Cooper type curve, match points are determined. The following equations are used to calculate T and S from each semi-logarithmic plot:

$$T = \frac{Q}{4\pi s} L(u,v)$$
(19)

$$S = 4T \frac{t/r^2}{1/u}$$
 (20)

The hydraulic resistance of the semi-pervious confining layer may be calculated using the following equation:

$$\frac{K'}{b'} = 4T \frac{v^2}{r^2}$$

K' is found by determining b' and then solving for K'.

5.3 Results

As discussed earlier, the aquifer at Banyan Bay was pumped continuously for 72 hours at a constant rate of 741 gpm. Water level data were collected to measure drawdown from four observation wells near the pumped well (OW-1D, OW-1S, OW-2D, OW-3D), one distant well with a recorder (E-1), and two staff gages (SG-1, SG-2) in nearby ponds. Appendix B contains the raw field data.

Outlined below is a discussion of the results obtained from the various methods of data analysis.

The results are listed in Table 5-1. As can be seen from the chart, there is excellent agreement in the aquifer parameters that were obtained from the various methods of analysis. Figures 5-2 to 5-4 are plots of Jacob Method I; Figure 5-5 is for Jacob Method II; Figures 5-6 to 5-8 are data plots of Hantush Method I, Figure 5-9 is for Hantush Method II; and Figure 5-10 to 5-12 are for the Jacob-Hantush Method.

The range in transmissivity is from 28,200 to 34,000 gpd/ft. The average for all methods is 30,140 gpd/ft. The coefficient of storage ranges from 3.24×10^{-1} to 5.38×10^{-4} with an

- 36 -

average of 2.3 x 10^{-2} which is indicative of a semi-confined aquifer. Leakance ranges from 1.72×10^{-3} to 4.72×10^{-2} gpd/ft² with an average of 3.22×10^{-2} gpd/ft². The close conformance of results between the various methods indicate that reliable aquifer parameters were determined. The higher storage and leakance values obtained for well OW-1D indicate this well is beginning to respond as a water table well since it is closest to the pumping well and responds to dewatering the earliest. Long term (30 days) pumping of well PW-1 would probably cause wells OW-2D and OW-3D to eventually respond as water table wells also. MOT WATCH THELE CONDITIONS

5.4 Analysis of Results

In using analytical methods to calculate the hydraulic properties of an aquifer, consideration must be given to the limiting conditions associated with each method. The degree to which a particular method fits the actual field conditions has a significant effect upon the results obtained.

For wellfield design and impact evaluations, the more conservative values of T = 28,200 gpd/ft, and $S = 2.5 \times 10^{-3}$, using the Jacob Method II, were used. The results obtained from this method were determined to be the ones which yielded

- 37 -

parameters specifically defining the cone of depression measured in wells OW-1D, OW-2D, OW-3D and E-1 during the aquifer performance test. Extrapolation of the distancedrawdown curve in Figure 5-5 shows the amount of drawdown that occurs with distance from the pumped well at a given pumping rate and specific interval of time. The straight line drawn between wells OW-2D, OW-3D and E-1, in Figure 5-5, is most representative of the real cone of depression created by pumping well PW-1 because of the great lateral distance between wells. As such, Jacob Method II is the basis for evaluating off-site impacts due to pumping.

5.5 Wellfield Design and Management

Analysis and evaluation of the aquifer performance test data provide the information base required for wellfield design. In order to meet a projected average day demand of 0.379 MGD, two wells will be required. These two wells will be operated on an alternating 24 hour schedule to allow each well to rest and the surrounding groundwater level to recover to static levels in between pumping episodes. Each well will be designed to produce 263 gpm. Peaking conditions will be met by storage facilities. The well spacing should be about 2,600 feet between the two wells to minimize drawdown impacts. The approximate locations of the production wells are shown in Figure 5-13. Treated water will be used to meet the potable and nonpotable water demands, except the golf course. Table 5-2 provides the average and maximum daily flows expected through the treatment plant at the end of each phase of development. The treatment plant will be sized based on maximum daily demands with an estimated ultimate capacity of 0.669 MGD.

Wastewater treatment plant effluent will be ultimately used for irrigation of the proposed golf course within the development. The total irrigation demands for the proposed golf course are estimated to be 0.287 MGD, based on approximately 1.5 inch/acre/ week for 45.5 acres (SFWMD's Green Grass Guide).

In the interim, until sufficient quantities of effluent are available, make-up water for irrigation demands will be met primarily by groundwater supplies, with minor surface water augmentation during year 6 of the development schedule (Table 5-2).

- 39 -

6.0 IMPACT EVALUATION

6.1 Projected Cone of Influence

The cone of influence around a pumping well is defined by the transmissivity, storage coefficient, leakance value of the aquifer and the rate and duration of withdrawals. Given these factors, the shape and extent of the cone of depression around a pumping well may be predicted. Utilizing transmissivity and storage coefficient values of 28,200 gpd/ft_oh and 2.5 x 10^{-3} , respectively, the drawdown with distance from the pumping well is obtained. Figure 6-1 illustrates this under test conditions. It shows that after three days of continuous pumping at a rate of 741 gpm, the one foot drawdown contour occurs at a distance of about 2700 feet from the pumping well. Table 6-1 contains calculations of drawdown with distance from the pumped well for 1, 3, 10 and 30 days of continuous pumping at 263 gpm. However, in reality, the wells will never be pumped for longer than one day, as outlined in Section 5.5.

At buildout, the Banyan Bay project will have an average day demand of 0.379 MGD (263 gpm). To supply this demand from the surficial aquifer, two supply wells operating on alternating 24 hour rotational schedule at a rate of 263 gpm will

- 40 -

be required. This alternating withdrawal schedule permits recovery of groundwater levels around each well during its rest period. Permitting the groundwater levels to recover every other day will mitigate withdrawal impacts on the hydrologic system and insure that the well is operating at peak efficiency because of maximum thickness of saturated aquifer. Long term pumping from the surficial aquifer can cause excessive dewatering of the aquifer and significantly reduce the specific capacity and efficiency of the well.

The projected cone of influence, for the proposed design withdrawal rate of 263 gpm for 24 hours, is shown in Figures 5-13 and 6-1 indicating the 1 foot drawdown contour will occur at a distance of approximately 1300 feet from the production well. At this distance, impacts of withdrawal on existing adjacent supply wells will be negligible. Even at a withdrawal rate of 465 gpm only one of the existing supply wells (well 1 on Figure 4-1) will experience a 1 foot decline in water level after 24 hours of pumping.

6.2 Groundwater Withdrawal Impacts On the Hydrologic System

To adequately evaluate withdrawal impacts of the proposed Banyan Bay wellfield, a thorough knowledge of the hydraulic characteristics of the four producing zones and three

- 41 -

interbedded semi-confining layers is necessary. In Section 6.1, it was determined that the proposed withdrawal rate and wellfield operation schedule will not adversely impact adjacent supply wells or promote saltwater intrusion. Potential for salt water intrusion is not relative to the Banyan Bay area because the fresh/saltwater interface is located 4 to 5 miles east of the site. The proposed wellfield operation schedule is also designed to minimize withdrawal impacts on surface waterbodies and the water table on the Banyan Bay property.

Each of the water producing zones described in Section 3.0 may be influenced to a minor degree by groundwater withdrawals on the property. The degree of impact will be a function of the depth of separation of each unit from the producing zone (Unit 7), the water levels in each producing zone, hydraulic characteristics of each producing zone (Units 1, 3, and 5) and each semi-confining unit (Units 2, 4, and 6), in addition to the rate and duration of withdrawals.

To evaluate potential impacts of head differentials observed under static and pumping conditions, between the water table and producing zone, a series of water table wells and surface water level observation stations were constructed (WT-1 through WT-9). Locations of these water table and surface

- 42 -

to determine if the wet weather pond water levels are perched or are a surface expression of the water table. To determine the relationship of the water table and surface water levels, 1.25 inch diameter well points were driven 1 to 1.5 feet below 5 of the pond bottoms. After installation, water levels in each well were permitted to reach equilibrium. After equilibrium was reached the levels in the wells equalled the surface water level where surface water was present, Table 6-2. Wells WT-2 and WT-5 were originally constructed as open ended wells and were not reflecting true groundwater levels. These wells were replaced with screens. Groundwater levels beneath these ponds also equalled surface water levels after equilibrium. Table 6-3 lists the water level of wells with elevations on the property. These data show that water levels in Unit 1 range from greater than 2.5 feet below land surface to 1.5 feet above land surface in surface depressions. Greatest depth to water level in Unit 1 is adjacent to the St. Lucie River which drains this unit. Water levels in Unit 1 are generally within 1 foot of the land surface over the site. Where shallow depressions occur (ephemeral ponds), the groundwater levels equal surface water levels. These data confirm that water levels in the ponds are controlled by the elevation of the water table. This correlation of water table to surface water is a critical design criterion, since dewatering excavations, lake

- 43 -

construction, infiltration potential of wastewater disposal and surface water management programs must be designed to maintain the existing water table elevation around the wet weather ponds to retain their hydrobiological integrity. To evaluate impacts of the proposed development on the wet weather ponds the hydraulic characteristics of Unit 1 were determined. Jammal and Associates (February, 1982) have, as part of their on-site soils investigation, determined a vertical permeability in Unit 1 of 12 feet/day and a horizontal permeability of 14 feet/day, based on field permeability tests.

Unit 2 has a very low vertical permeability of less than 0.001 feet/day and a low horizontal permeability of 0.01 feet/day (Jammal, 1982). This low permeability creates a semi-confining layer separating the more permeable Units 1 and 3. Consequently, Unit 1 responds immediately as a water table aquifer with water levels near land surface and responds directly to rainfall and evapotranspiration.

Unit 3, as a result of the semi-confining zone above it, exhibits artesian water levels under static conditions (unpumped). Leakance of water through Unit 2 occurs at a slow rate as indicated by the 72 hour aquifer performance test. Throughout the 72 hour test, water was pumped from

- 44 -

the discharge well at a constant rate of 741 gpm from Unit 7, which occurs at a depth of 60 to 130 feet. Staff gages (SG-1, SG-2) installed in the two ponds closest to the production well indicated no discernable dewatering during the test (Table 6-4). This indicates groundwater withdrawal, as proposed, will not have an adverse impact on the environmentally sensitive water levels naturally occurring in Unit 1. The combined effects of the semi-confining Units 2, 4 and 6 effectively retard dewatering of Unit 1 by short term (1 to 5 days) pump withdrawals.

Long term withdrawals at high pumping rates (750 gpm) may eventually cause dewatering after 5 to 10 days of continuous pumping from one well. For this reason, recommended pumping schedules from the potable system will not exceed 24 hours.

Vertical permeability in Unit 3 was determined to be 6 feet/day and the horizontal permeability was measured at 9 feet/day (Jammal, 1982). Water levels measured in the two shallow observation wells (OW-1S and OW-4S) in this unit (Figure 1-2), and in the test holes constructed by Jammal and Associates (which were measuring water levels in this unit) indicate the water levels range from 2.5 to 4.85 feet below land surface. Unit 3 water levels are artesian under static conditions as a result of the semi-confining nature

- 45 -

of Unit 2. Water levels in Unit 3 are generally 3 feet below Unit 1 water levels and tend to follow the stratigraphic dip of Unit 3. During the aquifer performance test, OW-1S, located 25 feet west of the production well, had a delayed drawdown response to the pumping (Appendix B, Aquifer Performance Test Data) indicating that underlying Units 4 and 6 have semi-confining characteristics which retard the downward percolation of water. Part of this delayed water level response is caused by partial penetration effects resulting from Well OW-1S not penetrating the production zone (Unit 7).

Unit 4 is a semi-confining zone separating Units 3 and 5.

No wells specifically monitored water levels in Unit 5. Due to the presence of semi-confining clayey sediments above and below Unit 5, water levels in this unit are probably slightly lower than those measured in Unit 3 and higher than those measured in Unit 7.

Unit 6 is a semi-confining zone.

Unit 7 responds as a semi-unconfined artesian aquifer, as determined from water level responses measured during the

aquifer performance test. Water levels measured in Wells OW-1D, OW-2D, OW-3D, OW-4D, PW-1, and E-1 indicate water levels in Unit 7 are generally 5 to 7 feet below land surface, coming to within 2.5 feet of the surface at the lower land elevations (3.8 ft. msl) adjacent to the St. Lucie River. Unit 7 water levels are approximately 1 to 2 feet below Unit 3 water levels over the property except at the lower land elevations along the St. Lucie River. As exhibited in wells OW-4D and OW-4S, Unit 7 exhibits water levels one half to one foot higher than Unit 3 in this area. This reversal may indicate hydraulic connection of Unit 3 to the St. Lucie The hydraulic gradient of Unit 7, across the Banyan River. L= 3600f Bay property, (Figure 6-2) is east to west about 6 feet/mile. Bay property, (Figure 0 2, 2 Discharge to St Lucie : $Q_0 = 2Q_1 + Q_2 = T_1 L = (997.5)(3600) = \frac{6}{5230}$ Unit 8 is a confining zone $Q_2 = T_1 L = (3.22 \times 10^3)(3600 \times 10^3)$ $Q_1 = 4080$ $Q_2 = 443$ $Q_2 = 443$ $Q_2 = 443$ $Q_3 = 460$ $Q_4 = 460$ $Q_5 = 8203 \text{ gpd}/2$

Unit 9 is the lower confining zone known as the Hawthorn Formation. It is approximately 500 feet thick in the study area and effectively separates the surficial aquifer from the highly mineralized waters of the artesian Floridan Aquifer.

Water level and aquifer performance test data from the Banyan Bay property indicate proposed groundwater withdrawals

Reduction in plotentrometric heads of deep agaiter will not adversely impact esturine salinity a Significant base flow reduction occurs to the esturine if the 00

for meeting potable and irrigation demands of the development will not adversely impact:

- . The fresh/salt water interface stability
- . Groundwater quality in on-site or off-site wells
- . Adjacent supply well's operation or efficiency
- . Surface water levels
- . Environmental concerns relative to wet weather ponds
- . The St. Lucie River

These data have identified the need for careful planning and design with respect to drainage and storm water management retention and excavation for fill as these dewatering and runoff storage activities can impact the natural hydroperiod of the ephemeral ponds.

6.3 <u>Mitigating Impacts on the Water Table and</u> <u>Surface Water Levels</u>

The water management plan for Banyan Bay will be designed to meet the water management goals for a residential-golf course community in Martin County. The system will help: (1) regulate the water levels within the project, (2) provide for the removal of excess surface runoff, (3) detain and

- 48 -

6

not wid ca

which recorded 0.19' decline in the pond! We regulate the release regulate the release of flood waters, (4) incorporate features for the improvement of the quality of surface runoff, (5) supply minor amounts of irrigation water (6) maintain maximum freshwater head for salinity control of the St. Lucie River and the water management plan will serve a land use concept which will preserve the wetland features of the site and provide for the development and use of suitable land within the project.

The physical components of the system will consist of numerous interconnected detention lakes which will discharge at controlled rates to the St. Lucie River. Water level investiga- $^{\succ}$ tions on the site indicate observed seasonal surface water $\overset{}{\otimes}$ is a reflection of the existing groundwater table and not a function of perched conditions. These water table levels Hard Inn 'are maintained by a silty clay sand layer ((hardpan) ranging from 3 to 5 feet below land surface. $/\!\!/$ If this 10 foot thick confining layer were breached, the water table would drop 3 to 4 feet below land surface. Preservation of the wetlands during construction of the project will result in the retention of the hardpan layer existing below the wetlands. Since this hardpan layer is primarily responsible for the existing ponded water (seasonal) in the wetland areas, its preservation will allow for the continuance of ponded water in areas where it presently exists. (Control elevations will be set I gt's the only way they will be preserved.

so that approximately one foot of water will be retained in the wetland areas prior to discharge.

The 251 acre site will contain approximately 17 acres of internal lakes and water management areas designed to detain for five days the first 3/4-inch of runoff from the site in accordance with SFWMD requirements. Detention of runoff has been found to have approximately (95%) the same water quality benefits as retention. It has been used in the design of this project so that lake elevations will be more easily maintained. Grassed swales will be utilized to convey runoff from roads and building areas to the lakes. The swales and the detention features in the lakes will allow for removal of settleable solids and for uptake of nutrients in the runoff before discharge into the river.

The control of the lake levels will be set at the wet season water table elevation and vary across the site from 3 to 11 feet msl except for the large lake in the center of the property which will be excavated 15 to 20 feet below land surface, penetrating semi-confining Unit 2. Penetration of Unit 2 by the lake excavation will cause water levels around the lake to drop to those levels exhibited by Unit 3, which are approximately 3 feet below existing Unit 1 levels. This dewatering impact will be limited to a drawdown of 0.5 feet

Theis Simulation for a distance of about 100 feet around the perimeter of the lake assuming constant drainage and no recharge for 120 Where these impacts will intercept surface water or days. wet weather ponds, a low permeability dike can be constructed between the lake and the pond from land surface into Unit 2 to prevent dewatering. According to the proposed development plan only one of the wetland preserve areas may be impacted by up to 0.5 feet of dewatering in extreme drought conditions. A low permeability dike, approximately 600 feet, long will be required to mitigate this dewatering impact.

will the

SFWMD reg At various times of the year, water levels can be affected by the surrounding hydrologic conditions. Therefore, it is anticipated that water table elevations will continue to fluctuate during the dry season and wet season. Swales interconnecting the lake and preserve areas will have bottom elevations that vary such that runoff from the upstream basin will flow to downstream basins. Control of the system is to be accomplished by the use of structures at each of the lake outfalls. The Water Management System discharges to the River through four control structures. Three of these structures will be located adjacent to a large preserve area in the northwest corner of the project. The fourth will be located adjacent to a smaller preserve further

This lake will act as a drain This lake will act as a drain either from surface sources of from shallow we on finel wi

south. All runoff discharged from the project will sheetflow through one of these preserve areas before entering the river. FIGURES





81-227.3

FIGURE 1-2



WATER LEVEL MONITORING NETWORK



SURFACE IN FEET DEPTH BELOW LAND

160 L



GENERALIZED WELL CONSTRUCTION DIAGRAM

TEST (SUPPLY) WELL

81-227.3

-160

40

60

80

100

120

140

DEPTH

BELOW

LAND

SURFACE

Ï

FEET



- PUMPED WELL
- DEEP OBSERVATION
 WELL
- SHALLOW OBSERVATION WELL
- △ STAFF GAGE
- * RAIN GAGE
- * EXISTING ON SITE WELL

LOCATION OF LITHOLOGIC CROSS-SECTION

81-227.3

FIGURE 3-1


FIGURE 3-2

- ----





81-227.3

FIGURE 5-1



















=		HANTUSH - JACOB METH	OD			
HOOM	+ MATCHPOINT					
DRA		L(u,v) = 1 $1/u = 1$	v = 0.02			
	•	s = 3.01 ft				
	•	$t/r^{*} = 2.11 \times 10^{-8}$				۰ ۲
1.0		s = 3.01 ft	· · · · · ·		DRAWDOWN VS t/rª GRAPH	OF BANYAN BAY AQUIFER TES
	•	T = 28,210 gpd/ft	•		FOR WELL OU 20	
		$s = 2.38 \times 10^{-3}$			FOR WELL UW-20	WHERE r = [10.5
		<u>K</u> = 2.5 x 10 ^{-*} gpd/ft ³	: :			Q = 741 g
		D				t = time
	•	t' = 5. ft K' = 4.98 x 10 ⁻³ gpd/ft ²				
	· · · · · ·				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· ,
				· · · · · ·		
0.1					1	· · · · ·
0.16					<u></u>	

.

10

81-227.3











TABLE 2-1

WELL CONSTRUCTION DATA

BANYAN BAY

Well No.	Ground Elevation (ft)(MSL)	Elevation at m.p. (ft)(MSL)	Diameter (inches)	Total Depth (ft)	Cased Interval (ft)	Screened Interval (ft)	Date Drilled
OW-1S	3.30	3.58	2	20	0-10	10-20	1/26/82
OW-1D	3.30	3.30	2	130	0-60	60-130	1/25/82
OW-2D	11.50	11.75	2	130	0-60	60-130	1/27/82
OW-3D	12.00	12.16	2	130	0-60	60-130	1/28/82
OW-4S	11.80	12.25	2	25	0-10	10-25	1/21/82
OW-4D	10.40	10.79	2	135	0-60	60-135	1/21/82
PW-1	11.30	13.25	12 (inner) 20 (outer)	130	0-60	60-130	2/14/82

WELL INVENTORY - FEBRUARY 27, 1982

BANYAN BAY

÷ ,

Water Analysis

Well No.	Depth (ft)	Casing (type)	Diameter (inches)	Lift (Type)	Power (Type)	Use	Chloride (mg/l)	Iron (mg/1)
l	60	Steel	2	Cent	Elec	D&I	26	0.64 V
2	80	Steel	2	Cent	Elec	D & I	27	0.98 2
3	n/a ⁽¹⁾	Steel	2	Cent	Elec	D & I	27	2.07
4	130-140	Steel	2	Cent	Elec	D&I	38	0.19 🛩
5	n/a	Steel	2	Cent	Elec	D	26	0.20
6	n/a	Steel	2	Cent	Elec	D	25	0.16
7	n/a	Steel	2	Cent	Elec	D	24	0.61
8	n/a	Steel	2	Cent	Elec	D	26	0.09
9	n/a	Steel	2	Cent	Elec	D	23	0.46
10	60-80	Steel	2	Cent	Elec	D	32	0.08 🗸
11	n/a	Steel	2	Cent	Elec	D	23	0.89
12	n/a	Steel	2	Cent	Elec	D	25	1.11
13	No	Access				D	(3)	(3)
14	No	Access				· •	(3)	(3)
15	n/a	Steel	2	Cent	Elec	D&I	25	4.50
16	40-60	Steel	2.5	Cent	Elec	D & I	24	0.51
17	n/a	Steel	2	Wind	Piston		(3)	(3)
18	No	Access					(3)	(3)
19	n/a	Steel	2	Cent	Elec	D&I	17	2.49
20	40-60	Steel	2	Cent	Elec	D	18	4.05
21	n/a	Steel	2	Cent	Elec	D	15	3.97
22	n/a	Steel	2	Cent	Elec	D	26	1.77
23	63	Steel	2	Cent	Elec	I	19	0.25
24	63	Steel	2	Cent	Elec	D	23	0.76
25	n/a	Steel	2	Cent	Elec	D & I	(3)	(3)
26	n/a	Stèel	2	Cent	Elec	D	18	1.01
27	n/a	Steel	2	Cent	Elec	D	19	0.41
28	100	Steel	2	Cent	Elec	D	34	1.51 v
29	36	Steel	2	Cent	Elec	I	22	0.14
30	36	Steel	2	Cent	Elec	I	19	0.43
31	80	Steel	2	Cent	Elec	I	22	0.40 🖌
32	n/a	Steel	2	Cent	Elec	D	17	4.67
33	n/a	Steel	2	Cent	Elec	I	18	0.91
34	n/a	Steel	2	Cent	Elec	D	(3)	(3)
35	n/a	Steel	2	Cent	Elec	D	(3)	(3)
36	n/a	Steel	2	Cent	Elec	D	21	5.13
37	95	Steel	3	Cent	Elec	D&I	24	0.16 🗸
38	95	Steel	2	Cent	Elec	D	30	0.02 🛩

(1) Not available

(2) D refers to domestic use I refers to irrigation use

(3) Not able to sample

GROUNDWATER CONDUCTIVITY SURVEYS

AND WATER LEVELS

BANYAN BAY

·				······································					
Well No.	E-1	OW-4D	OW-4D	OW-1D	OW-4D	OW-45	E-1	E-2	E-3
Date	1-20-82	2-21-82	2-23-82	2-23-82	3-17-82	3-17-82	3-17-82	3-17-82	3-17-82
WL (ft) held	-	2.00	20.00	34.00	1.00	3.00	6.00	6.00	4.00
wer	-	0.13	17.97	0.48	0.26	0.52	1.22	1.96	0.72
belou mp	6,00	1.97	2 03	33.52	0.74	2 48	4.78	4.04	3.28
	12.01	1 2 20	2.05	30.32		2.40	11.002		
elev of mp, msi (it)	12.01	1 3.30	3.30	12.10	3.30	3.58	11.09		
elev of WL, msl (ft)	6.01	1.33	1.27	21.36	2.66	0.90	7.11		-
Cased depth (ft)	<u> </u>	60	60	60	60	10	-	-	-
TOTAL depth (ft)	98.5	135	135	130	135	24.5	98.5	107	123
Conductivity (umhos/cm)	·					······································			
5 (ft) helow mp	Ι	1			440	190	265	220	211
10	320				440	182	272	218	220
	310	1			447	174	280	220	222
20	315	ļ			450	355*	289	221	225
25	318				456	356	295	1 224	229
30	321	<u> </u>		310	460	+sample	300	228	230
	322			320	461	depth	304	229	231
40	322	{		317	463	Cond = 321	310	229	234
50	225	139	441	312	466	C11 = 22	312	230	235
ξς	325	440	443	314	470	101 - 22	316	231	238
60	329	440	443	510	470		320	232	238
65	348	440	446	520	466	1	340	232	240
70	349	440	450	530	468	Į	340	234	240
75	350	445	450	530	469		341	236	241
80	350	445	451	530	470		343	238	243
85	351	449	451	530	472		343	239	246
90	351	449	455	530	474	ļ	345	240	248
95	373	449	456	530	470	<u> </u>	347*	240	249
100	[449	459		4//		*Comple	241	249
110		449	460	570	480		denth	3/5"	250*
115		500	461	650	790		Cond = 324	*Sample	448
120		690	461	670	920		$C1^1 = 16$	depth	448
125		900	610	670	980	· · · ·		Cond = 239	
1 30		900	730		1,000*			$C1^1 = 39$	
135					1,060				*Sample
140					-				depth
145					*Sample				Cond = 240
150					depth				$C1^{-} = 39$
155		ŀ			Cond = 650		·····		
60		ł.		·	<u> </u>				
165									{
75	<u> </u>								
/ 7									
85									
90			-						· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
95	[
00		1							
FASURED DEPTH (Foot)	98.5	134	134	129	133.5	24.5	98.5	107	123

¹ Chloride concentration in mg/l

 2 mp changed on 2/12/82

CONDUCTIVITY AND TEMPERATURE DATA OF PW-1 DURING

AQUIFER PERFORMANCE TEST

BANYAN BAY

Time (<u>hours</u>)	Conductivity (umhos/cm)	Temperatur e ([°] C)	Time (hours)	Conductivity (umhos/cm)	Temperature (°C)
0.42	410 ¹	24.0	37.0	3981	21.0
1.0	410 1	25.5	38.0	3991	21.0
2.0	410 1	24.0	39.0	3951	-
2.5	417 ¹	23.5	40.0	3891	
3.0	417 1	23.5	40.0	3001	ан ал ан
4.0	417 1	23.5	42.0	3971	-
5.0	408 1	23.0	43.0	4181	
6.0	410 1	22.5	44.0	410	—
7.0	410 ¹	22.5	45.0	4201	
8.0	400 1	22.0	46.0	4301	_
9.0	400 1	21.5	47.0	4311	. -
10.0	406 1	22.0	48.0	4301	_
11.0	402 1	21.5	49.0	4301	· - ·
12.0	401 1	21.0	50.0	4101	
13.0	402 1	21.5	51.0	4031	- -
14.0	398 1	21.5	52.0	470/400 2	
15.0	406 1	21.5	53.0	470/400 2	
16.0	405 1	21.5	54.0	480/405 2	
17.0	409 ¹	22.3	55.0	480/400 2	-
18.0	402 1	22.2	56.0	470/395 ²	– 11
19.0	421 1	23.5	57.0	470/400 2	-
20.0	425 ¹	23.5	58.0	470/390 ²	
21.0	425 ¹	23.4	59.0	470/400 2	—
22.0	450 ¹	23.8	60.0	470/400 2	· • •
23.0	428 ¹	24.0	61.0	460/380 ²	
24.0	431 ¹	24.0	62.0	470/390 2	-
25.0	423 ¹	24.0	63.0	460/390 ²	
26.0	418 1	23.1	64.0	440/380 ²	-
27.0	432 ¹	23.1	65.0	480/410 ³	- 1
28.0	410 ¹	22.1	66.0	485.3	, . .
29.0	403 ¹	22.0	67.0	485 ³	
30.0	405 ¹	22.0	68.0	500 ³	-
31.0	408 ¹	21.9	69.0	510 ³	-
32.0	404 ¹	21.5	70.0	510 ³	. · · ·
33.0	402 ¹	21.2	71.0	510 ³	-
34.0	401 ¹	21.7	72.0	502 ³	-
35.0	400 ¹	21.0			
36.0	402 ¹	21.1			

¹ YSI Model 33 conductivity meter xl scale

²YSI Model 33 conductivity meter x10/x1 scale

³Beckman Model RB3-338 conductivity meter (Beckman Meter = x10 scale of , the VCT Moter)

POTABLE GROUNDWATER QUALITY OF PW-1

BANYAN BAY

Sampled after 1 hour and 72 hours of pumping at a rate of 741 gpm

Parameter	Units	2-20-82	2-23-82
		<u>l hour</u>	72 hours
Conductivity	umhos/cm	410	432
Temperature	0C	25.5	24
рН		7.5	7.2
pHs	- - *	7.1	7.1
Color	PCU	20	25
Turbidity	JTU	0.42	0.98
Odor	TON	1	1
Hardness as CaCO 3	mg/l	248	250
Alkalinity as CaCO ₃	mg/l	231	228 .
Non-carbonate hardness			
as CaCO ₃	mg/l	17	22
Bicarbonate as CaCO3	mg/l	231	228
Bicarbonate as HCO3	mg/l	282	278
Carbonate as CaCO ₃	mg/l	0.0	0.0
Hydroxide as CaCO ₃	mg/l	0.0	0.0
Calcium as Ca	mg/l	92	92
Magnesium as Mg	mg/l	4.4	4.9
Carbon Dioxide as CO ₂	mq/1	218	229
Fluoride as F	mg/l	0.11	0.11
Chloride as Cl	mg/l	26	25
Sulphate as SO ₄	mg/l	< 5	< 5
Total dissolved solids	mg/l	320	312
Stability index '	-	6.7	7.0
Saturation index	-	0.4	0.1
Hydrogen Sulfide as H ₂ S	mg/l	(1)	0.23
Iron as Fe	mg/l	(1)	0.64
Copper as Cu	mg/l	(1)	0.002
Manganese as Mn	mg/l	(1)	0.029
Zinc as Zn	mg/1	(1)	0.002
Nitrate nitrogen as N	mg/l	(1)	<0.1
Foaming agents	mg/1	0.11	1.00
Arsenic as Ar	mg/l	(1)	<0.01

TABLE 4-4 (continued)

POTABLE GROUNDWATER QUALITY OF PW-1

BANYAN BAY

Parameter	<u>Units</u>	2-20-82	2-23-82
		l hour	72 hours
Barium as Ba	mg/l	(1)	<0.1
Cadmium as Cd	mg/l	(1)	<0.01
Chromium as Cr	mg/l	(1)	<0.01
Lead as Pb	mg/l	(1)	<0.01
Mercury as Hq	mg/l	· (1)	<0.001
Selenium as Še	mg/l	(1)	<0.01
Silver as Aq	mg/l	(1)	<0.01
Endrin	ug/l	(1)	<0.1
Lindane	ug/l	(1)	<0.1
Methoxychlor	ug/l	(1)	<1.0
Toxaphene	ug/l	(1)	<1.0
2,4-D	ug/l	(1)	<1.0
2,4,5-TP, Silvex	ug/l	(1)	<1.0
Gross alpha	pCi/l	(1)	(2)
Radium 226	pCi/l	(1)	(2)

(1) Not Sampled

(2) Presently being analyzed

Umhos/cm = micromhos per centimeter

OC = degrees centigrade mg/l = miligrams per liter PCU = Platinum Cobalt Units JTU = Jackson Turbidity Units TON = Threshold Odor Number ug/l = micrograms per liter pCi/l = picoCuries per liter

Analyzed by Environmental Services Inc., West Palm Beach, Florida

TABLE 5-1

SUMMARY OF AQUIFER PARAMETERS

BANYAN BAY

	Tra	nsmissivity (gpd/ft)		Storage		Leakance ⁽²⁾ (gpd/ft ²)
			•			
Jacob Method	· ·					
Method I			i.			•
OW-1D	· .	29,600		5.06×10^{-4}		
OW-2D OW-3D		31,800		2.58×10^{-4}	•	– .
	Average	31,500		3.44×10^{-4}		•
Method II ⁽¹⁾		28,200		2.50×10^{-3}		-
Hantush Method						
Method I					•	
OW-1D OW-2D OW-3D	A	29,300 30,800 <u>31,300</u>		5.38×10^{-4} 2.91×10 2.97×10 ⁻⁴ 2.75×10 ⁻⁴		2.49×10^{-2} 1.05 \times 10^{-2} 9.90 \times 10^{-3} 4.5 \times 10^{-2}
Method II ⁽¹⁾	Average	29,500		2.91×10^{-4}		4.72×10^{-2}
Hantush - Jacob	Method				•	
OW-1D OW-2D OW-3D	Average	30,700 28,200 34,000 31,000		3.24×10^{-1} 2.38×10 ⁻³ 2.00×10 ⁻³ 1.10×10 ⁻¹		6.84×10^{-3} 4.98×10^{-3} 1.72×10^{-3} 4.52×10^{-3}
Average of all m	ethods:	30,140		2.3×10^{-2}		3.22×10^{-2}

(1) Wells OW-1D, OW-2D and OW-3D were used to calculate the aquifer parameters.
(2) Hydraulic conductivity of the upper confining layer

POTABLE AND NON-POTABLE WATER USE PROJECTIONS (MGD) AND SUPPLY SOURCES

TABLE 5-2

BANYAN BAY

			2	3	- -	4	5	6	7
Phase	Year	Average Day Available Water ¹	Average Day Potable Demand ²	Non-Potable Irrigation Demand (Excluding Golf Course)		Excess Groundwater Capacity Available for Irrigation	Total Golf Course Irrigation Demand	Make-up Water Needed ³ (Groundwater)	Additional Make-up Required ⁴ (Surface Water)
т	 1	0 370	0.038	0 011		0 330	0 13/	0.096	0.000
-	2	0.379	0.073	0.022	•	0.284	0.134	0.061	0.000
•	3	0.379	0.107	0.032		0.240	0.134	0.027	0.000
	4	0.379	0.142	0.043		0.194	0.134	0.000	0.000
II	5	0.379	0.179	0.054		0.146	0.287	0.108	0.000
	6	0.379	0.217	0.065		0.097	0.287	0.070	0.029
	7	0.379	0.255	0.077		0.047	0.287	0.032	0.000
	8	0.379	0.292	0.088		0.000	0.287	0.000	0.000

1 Based upon average day potable demand at build-out.

4

2 Same as effluent available for irrigation (excluding non-potable uses).

3 Golf course irrigation demand in excess of available effluent (column 5 minus column 2 equals column 6), make-up water to be supplied by potable supply wells.

Demand in excess of available potable groundwater supply to be supplied from on-site lake.

DISTANCE - DRAWDOWN

CALCULATIONS

BANYAN BAY

T = 28,200 gpd/ft		
$S = 2.5 \times 10^{-3}$	·	
Q= 263 gpm		

t = 1 day	$\frac{r = 25.9 \text{ ft}}{u= 1.112 \times 10^{-4}}$ W(u) = 8.5270 S = 9.11 ft	$\frac{r = 116.5 \text{ ft}}{u = 2.250 \times 10^{-3}}$ W(u) = 5.5219 s = 5.90 ft	$\frac{r}{217} = \frac{ft}{217}$ u= 7.806x10 ⁻³ W(u) = 4.2834 s= 4.58 ft	r = 500 ft u=4.145x10 ⁻² W(u) = 2.6472 s= 2.83 ft	$\frac{r = 1000 \text{ ft}}{u = 1.658 \times 10^{-1}}$ W(\tilde{u}) = 1.3790 S = 1.47 ft	$\frac{r = 2000 \text{ ft}}{u = 6.631 \times 10^{-1}}$ W(u) = 0.4012 S = 0.43 ft	$\frac{r}{2600} = \frac{1}{2600} = \frac{1}{2600}$ W(u) = 0.1798 S = 0.19 ft
t = 3 days	u= 3.707x10 ⁻⁵	$u = 7.500 \times 10^{-4}$	u= 2.602x10 ⁻³	u=1.382x10 ⁻²	$u = 5.526 \times 10^{-2}$	$u = 2.210 \times 10^{-1}$	u= 3.736x10 ⁻¹
	W(u)= 9.6256	W(u) = 6.6190	W(u)= 5.3768	W(u)=3.7186	W(u) = 2.3730	W(u) = 1.1416	W(u)= 0.7489
	S= 10.29 ft	s = 7.07 ft	S= 5.75 ft	s= 3.97 ft	s = 2.54 ft	S = 1.22 ft	s= 0.80 ft
t = 10 days	u= 1.112x10 ⁻⁵	u= 2.250x10 ⁻⁴	u= 7.806x10 ⁻⁴	$u=4.145\times10^{-3}$	$u = 1.658 \times 10^{-2}$	$u = 6.631 \times 10^{-2}$	$u = 1.12 \times 10^{-1}$
	W(u)= 10.8295	W(u)= 7.8224	W(u)= 6.5790	W(u)=4.9129	W(u) = 3.5390	W(u) = 2.2014	W(u) = 1.7205
	s= 11.57 ft	s= 8.36 ft	S= 7.03 ft	s= 5.25 ft	S = 3.78 ft	s = 2.35 ft	S = 1.84 ft
t = 30 days	u= 3.707x10-6	u= 7.500x10 ⁻⁵	u= 2.602x10 ⁻⁴	u=1.382x10 ⁻³	u= 5.526x10 ⁻³	$u= 2.210 \times 10^{-3}$	$u = 3.736 \times 10^{-2}$
	W(u)= 11.9281	W(u)= 8.9209	W(u)= 7.6771	W(u)= 6.0088	W(u)= 4.6266	W(u) = 3.2568	W(u) = 2.7471
	s= 12.75 ft	s= 9.53 ft	s= 8.21 ft	s= 6.42 ft	s= 4.94 ft	S= 3.48 ft	S = 2.94 ft

GROUNDWATER LEVELS (IN FEET, BELOW 1sd)

	1982	- Date	measure	d		BANYA	AN BAY					
Well No.	2-17	2-18	2-19	2-20	2-211	2-22 1	2-23 ¹	2-26	3-3.	3-4	3-5	3-8
Unit 7	Water	Levels									•.	
PW-1 OW-1D OM-2D	5.57		5.63 4.84	5.50	44.15	44.98	45.00	5.69	5.65 6.18 5.92	5.69 6.23 5.96	5.24 5.77 5.51	4.59 5.12 4.86
OW-3D OW-4D E-1	4.60			4.68	20.15 1.97 4.02	20.74	20.84 2.03 4.37	4.58 1.12 3.36	4.59 1.00 3.26	4.53 1.15 3.42	4.07 0.70 2.70	3.43 0.28 2.03 -
E-2 E-3 E-4 E-5											2.15	1.78 0.78 4.12 4.14
Unit 3	Water	Levels		<u>.</u>		L	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
0W-1S 0W-4S				4.70	.5.74	6.22	6.42	4.85 2.14	4.78 2.38	4.80 2.33	4.25 2.14	3.54 1.60
Unit l	Water	Levels		۰۰۰۰۰ <u>۱</u>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	····	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		L	L
WT-1 WT-2 ³	÷.,							1.48	+0.95	+0.622	0.60 +0.77 ²	0.65 +0.85
WT-3 ³									+0.90 +0.32 +0.32	+0.87 +0.30 +0.30	+1.23 +0.84 +0.84	+0.85 +0.92 +0.92
$WT-4^3$ $WT-5^3$									$\frac{1.5}{0.0}$ +1.35 ²	$\frac{1.24}{0.0}$ +1.5 ²	+0.30 +0.30	- +0.84 ²
WT-6 ³								n Article	+1.12 0.62 0.0	$\frac{+1.1}{0.64}$	-	+1.38 +0.49 +0.49
WT-7		-				-			0.44	$\frac{0.27}{0.0}$		-
WT-8 WT-9		- 						2.33	-	>2.50	1.32	0.77
Surface	Water	Levels	·····	I		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		·	L			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
SG-1 ⁴ SG-2 ⁴	3.99 _	4.04	4.04	4.02 0.53	4.02 0.50	_ 0.40	4.00 0.35	3.94	-	3.86 -	4.14	4.90
1 Water 1	avole a	+ 0800	hra dur	ing Agu	ifor Por	formance	Toet	•		-		

Water levels at 0800 hrs during Aquifer Performance Test

² Well plugged, replaced on 3-8-82

3 +0.95 groundwater level +0.90 surface water level

 4 Water levels from SG-1 and SG-2 are surface water levels not related to level below 1sd.

Note: Miles Grant Rainfall

3/5/82 3/8/82 1.5 inches 2.6 inches

5

GROUNDWATER LEVELS (IN FEET, MSL)

BANYAN BAY

	<u> 1982 -</u>	- Date me	asured										
Well No.	2-17	2-18	2-19	2-20	2-21	2-22	2-23	2-26	3-3	3-4	3-5	3-8	· · ·
Unit 7	Water	Levels											<u> </u>
PW-1													
	5.73	-	5.67	5.80	-32.85	-33.68	-33.70	5.61	5.65	5.61	6,06	6.71	
OW-1D			7.16	4.59	-20.64	-21.31	-21.27	5 71	5.82	5 77	6 23	6 88	
OW-2D			- /.10	4.55	20.04	21.51	21.27	5.71	5.02	5.11	0.25	0.00	
017 30				- 5.67	-13.38	-13.99	-14.09	5.80	5.88	5.84	6.29	6.94	•
0W-3D	5.80			5.7 2	-9.75	-10.34	-10.44	5.82	5.81	5.87	6.33	6.97	
0W-4D					1.33		1.27	2.18	2.30	2.15	2.60	3.02-	
E-1	+				5.78	5.50	5.43	6.44	6.54	6.38	7.10	7.77	\mathbf{N}
Unit 3	Water	Levels	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	L	P	!	<u> </u>			<u> </u>		·····	
OW-1S OW-4S				6.80	5.76	5.28	5.08	6.65 1.16	6.72 0.92	6.70 0.97	7.25	7.96 1.70 —	3.02
Unit 1	Water	Levels	I	I	I	<u>.</u>	· <u>I</u>	1		L	- L		
WT-1								8.92		<1.08	9.80	9.75	2.53
WT-9								9.17		1.00	ns 2	2.55	1.23
NOTE: Mi	iles Gra	nt rainfa	a11	3-5-82 3-8-82		5 inches 5 inches	*	•	רט זכ סס	1997 157 104	.96	1.99 1.08	9.75 6.58 2.87
•				•					0	い4D 3 い4S 1	.02		
· · ·							- -		ω	78 2	. 53	1997 - A.	

SURFACE WATER LEVEL DATA

	DURING THE	AQUIFER PERFORMA	NCE TEST	57	
		BANYAN BAY		DI Wa	\$ 1.81
Date	Time	SG-l(ft.)		<u>SG-2(ft.</u>)	· · ·
2/17/82		3.99			
2/18/82		4.04			
2/19/82		4.04			
2/20/82	1330	4.02		0.53 - 0.1	34 = . 19
	1530)			0.53	
	2100	4.02			
	2400 (111)			0.53	
2/21/82	0400 \ 3 . 1	0 PM 2/20/12		0.49	
	0600	·		0.48	
	0700			0.40	
	0800			0.48	
	1000			0.48	
	1100			0.48	-
	1200			0.48	
	1300			0.48	
•	1400			0.48	
	1500	4.02		0.48	
2/22/82	1100			0.42	
_//	1300			0.40	
	1900	—	с	0.40	
	2000			0.39	· · · · ·
	2100			0.39	
	2200			0.39	
	2300		•	0.39	
	2400		· · · · ·	0.38	
2/23/82	0100			0.38	
	0200			0.38	
	0300		•	0.38	
	0400			0.38	
	0500			0.38	
•	0700			0.37	
	0700			0.37	
	0900	4.00		0.37	
	1000			0.37	· · · ·
	1100			0.36	
	1200			0.36	
	1300	—		0.36	
•	1400				
	1500	-		0.35	
	1600			0.34 -	
2/26/82		3.94		• ••• •••	
3/4/82		3.86		—	
3/5/82		4.14		-	
3/8/82	·	4.90			

LIST OF REFERENCES

- Cooper, H.H., Jr., 1963, Type curves for nonsteady radial
 flow in an infinite leaky artesian aquifer, in Bentall,
 Ray, compiler, Shortcuts and special problems in aquifer
 tests: U.S. Geol. Survey Water-Supply Paper 1545-C,
 p. C48-C55.
- Gee & Jenson, 1979. Miles Grant Consumptive Use and Impact on Adjacent Users.

Gee & Jenson, 1980. Martin Downs Hydrologic Studies.

- Jammal & Associates, Inc., 1982. Soil and Hydrogeologic Investigation - On-site Effluent Disposal.
- Kruseman, G.P., N.A. DeRidder, 1976, Analysis and Evaluation of Pumping Test Data: International Institute for Land Reclamation and Improvement, Wageningen, The Netherlands.
- Lohman, S.W., 1972, Ground-water hydraulics: U.S. Geol. Survey, Prof. Paper 708, Washington, D.C.

APPENDICES

APPENDIX A LITHOLOGY DESCRIPTIONS



WELL CONSTRUCTION

Well No. <u>PW-1</u>	Location: Banyan Bay
Driller: Drilling Services	Recorded by: <u>GR</u>
Samples: Cuttingsx, Core	Date Drilled: 2/9/82, 2/19/82
Casing: Depth 0 - 60 ft	Screen: Depth <u>60 - 130 ft</u>
Diameter <u>12 in (inner)/20 in (</u> out	ter) Diameter <u>12 in</u>
Material <u>Schedule 40 PVC/steel</u>	Material <u>80 slot stainless</u> steel

DEPTH BELOW LAND SURFACE (FEET)	LITHOLOGY DESCRIPTION
0 - 5	Sand - silica, light brown, medium to fine grained, unconsolidated
5 - 10	Clayey Sand - silica, brown, medium to very fine grained, unconsolidated with clays, silty and organic debris
10 - 30	Sand - silica, light gray, medium to very fine grained, unconsolidated
30 - 35	Sand and shell - sand - silica, light gray, medium to fine grained, unconsolidated with shell fragments
35 - 55	Sandy shell - dark gray cemented sand and shell fragments in a carbonate matrix with unconsolidated silica sand, gray, fine grained shell fragments
55 - 65	Limestone - dark gray to black, well lithified with lenses of light gray to calcareous clay, unconsolidated silica sand
65 - 130	Same as above with abundant unconsolidated very light gray shell fragments

A	GEE	£	JENSON	ENGINEERS-ARCHITECTS-PLANNERS.INC.

WELL CONSTRUCTION

Well No. <u>OW-1D</u>	Location: <u>Banyan Bay</u>
Driller: McKwen rilling	Recorded by: BS
Samples: Cuttings x , Core	Date Drilled: 1/22/82, 1/25/82
Casing: Depth 0 - 60 ft	Screen: Depth <u>60 - 130 ft</u>
Diameter 2 in	Diameter <u>2 in</u>
Material PVC	Material PVC

DEPTH BELOW LAND SURFACE (FEET)	LITHOLOGY DESCRIPTION	
130 - 145	Limestone - light green, friable with unconsolidated silica sand, gray, fine grained and trace of clays, greenish	
145 - 160	Limestone - as from 130 - 145 ft with light gray to greenish clay, with increase in green color with depth	



WELL CONSTRUCTION

Well No. OW-3D	Location: Banyan Bay
Driller: McKwen Drilling	Recorded by: BS
Samples: Cuttings x , Core	Date Drilled: 1/27/82
Casing: Depth 0 - 60 ft	Screen: Depth 60 - 130 ft
Diameter 2 in	Diameter <u>2 in</u>
Material PVC	Material PVC

	DEPTH BELOW LAND SURFACE (FEET)	LITHOLOGY DESCRIPTION
	0 - 5	Sand - silica - light gray to tan, medium to fine grained, unconsolidated with heavy organic debris
	5 - 10	Clayey Sand - silica, light gray to tan, medium to fine grained, unconsolidated with trace of light gray clays at 6.5 ft
	10 - 20	Sand - silica, light gray to tan, medium to fine grained, unconsolidated
	20 – 25	Sand - silica, tan to light gray, medium to fine grained with grains of brown throughout - maybe just brown silica sand
	25 - 30	Clayey Sand - sand - silica, light gray to gray, medium to fine grained, unconsolidated
		Clays - greenish brown, silty
	30 - 35	Sand - silica, light gray to gray, unconsolidated with small amount clays, silty, greenish brown and small shell fragments, white to black
· · · ·	35 - 55	Sand and Shell - Sand - silica, light gray to gray, medium to fine grained with abundant shell fragments
	55 - 60	Sandy Clay - silica, gray to dark gray, fine grained, unconsolidated shell fragments
		Clays - green, silty with balls of white calcareous clay occuring infrequently throughout


WELL CONSTRUCTION

Well No. <u>OW-4D</u>	Location: <u>Banyan Bay</u>
Driller: Drilling Services Inc	Recorded by: <u>SN/JF</u>
Samples: Cuttings <u>x</u> , Core	Date Drilled: 1/20/82
Casing: Depth <u>0 - 60 ft</u>	Screen: Depth <u>0 - 135 ft</u>
Diameter 2 in	Diameter 2 in
Material PVC	Material PVC

	DEPTH BELOW	
	LAND SURFACE	
	(FEET)	LITHOLOGY DESCRIPTION
	0 - 5	Sand - silica, light brown, very fine to fine grained, unconsolidated, somewhat clayey
	5 - 15	Sandy silt - silica, grayish brown, fine to medium grained silica sand and silt, unconsolidated
•		with light gray calcareous clay and minor heavy metals
	15 - 20	Sand - silica, brown, fine to medium grained, unconsolidated, iron stains on grains
	20 - 25	Marl - dark greenish black, organic silts with unconsolidated fine grained silica sand, silt and a trace of clay
	25 – 35	Shell - white to black, unconsolidated (pelecypods - tellina sp cardium sp.) with 50% very fine grained, carbonate and silica sand, dark gray to black unconsolidated, trace of light gray, calcareous clay
	35 - 60	Sandy clay - light gray, calcareous, unconsolidated with fine silica sand and shell fragments, picking up dark gray limestone between 55 and 60 feet.
	60 - 65	Limestone - dark gray to black, cemented silica sand and shell fragments in a carbonate matrix with unconsolidated dark gray, fine grained silica sand and shell fragments



WELL CONSTRUCTION

Well No.	<u> </u>	Location: Banyan Bay
Driller:	Drilling Services, Inc.	Recorded by: BS
Samples:	Cuttings x , Core	Date Drilled: 1/21/82
Casing:	Depth 0 - 10 ft	Screen: Depth 0 - 25 ft
	Diameter 2 in	Diameter 2 in
	Material PVC	Material PVC

	DEPTH BELOW LAND SURFACE (FEET)	LITHOLOGY DESCRIPTION	
	0 - 5	Silty Sand - greenish brown, poorly consolidated to consolidated, high organic content, very fine grained, silica sand, somewhat clayey	
	5 - 15	Sandy silt - grayish brown, very clayey, consolidated, somewhat plastic	
	15 - 20	Sand - silica, brown, fine to medium grained, iron stained, unconsolidated	•
• • • •	20 - 25	Marl - dark greenish black, organic silts with very fine silica sand and silt, unconsolidated, somewhat clayey	

APPENDIX B

AQUIFER PERFORMANCE TEST

AND

SPECIFIC CAPACITY TEST

DATA

AQUIFER PERFORMANCE TEST DATA

A GEE & JENSON ANALINA ANALITATION PLANNING INC.

MANOMETER READINGS

PROJECT No. 81-227.3	LOCATION Banyan Bay	
METHOD OF MEASURING 10" x 6" Orifice	AVERAGE DISCHARGE 741	GPM
STARTING DATE OF TEST 2/20/82		

[] [(hr	ime) (min)	Inches	Dis- charge (gpm)	Stall Gage (it)	Temp (oC)	Cond. (umhos/cm)	sured bv	Remarks
	.5	27.5	741	•			SN	
	1	28.0	747					
	1.5	27.5	741			•		
	2	27.5	741				<u> </u>	
	2.5	27.5	741					
	3.0	27.5	734					adjusting valve
	3.5	27.0	734					
 	4.0	27.5	741					
	4.5	28.0	747					adjust valve
	5.0	27.5	741					
	. 6	27.5	741				~	•
	7	27.5	741					
	8	27.0	734		•			adjusting valve
	9	27.0	734					
	10	27.5	741		24.0	410	SN T	Conductivity measured with <u>a 1/SI 33 (x)</u> Sca
	12	27.0	734					adjusting valve
	14	28.0	747					
	16	27.5	741					
	18	27.5	741					
	20	27.5	741					
	25	27.5	741					
	30	27.5	741					

GEE & JENSON ENGINEERS-ARCHITECTS-PLANNERS.INC. **A**A

e :

MANOMETER READINGS

PROJECT	No.	81-227	• 3		LOCATIO	N <u>Banyan</u>	Bay		. ·
METHOD (OF ME	ASURING 10"	X 6" Orifi	Lce	AVERAGE	DISCHARGE_	741		GPM
STARTIN	G DATE	E OF TEST	2/20/82					•	

T: (hr)	ime) (min)	Inches	Dis- charge (gpm)	Staff Gage (ft)	Temp (oC)	Cond. (umhos/cm)	Mea- sured by	Remarks
.14	840	27.5	741 .	1	21.5	398	RW	
15	900	27.5'.	741		21.5	406	JF	
16	• 960	27.5	741		21.5	405		
17	1020	27.5	741		22.3	409		
18	1080	27.0	734		22.2	402		Open valve 1 turn to adjust to 27.5
19	1140	27.25	738		23.5	421		Open valve 2 inches
20	1200	27.5	741		23.5	425		
21	1260	27.5	741		23.4	425		
22	1320	27.5	741	× .	23.8	450		
23	1380	27.5	741		24.0	428		
24	1440	27.75	744		24.0	431	ſ,	Adjust rpms 1775 again to 1750
25	1500	27.75	744		24.0	423	JF	Adjust to 1745
26	1560	27.25	738		23.1	418	JF/BB	Adjust rpm 1750
27	1620	27.50	741		23.1	432	BB	
28	1680	27.50	741		22.1	410	BB	
29	1740	27.25	738		22.0	403		Adjust rpm 1790
30	1800	27.50	7 41		22.0	405		
31	1860	27.25	738		21.9	408		Adjust rpm to 1800
32	1920	27.50	741		21.5	404	BB	
·33	1980	27.50	741		21.2	402		
34	2040	27.50	741		21.7	401		
35	2100	27.50	741		21.0	400		

GEE & JENSON IN ANTERNAKE HILLETS OF ANTERNAK

MANOMETER READINGS

T: (hr	ime) (min)	Inches	Dis- charge (gpm)	Staff Gage (fr)	Temp (oC)	Cond. (umhos/cm)	Fiea- sured by	Remarks
58	3480	27.75	744		•	470/390	GR	
59	3540	28.50	741			470/400	-	\$
60	3600	27.50	741			470/400		
61	3660	27.50	741			460/380		
62	3720	27.50	741			470 /390		
63	3780	27.50	741			460/390		
64	3840	27.50	741			440 /380		
65	3900	27.25	738		·	480/410		•
66	3960	27.50	741			485*	· . · ·	*Beckman Cond. Mtr.
67	4020	27.25	738			485*	•	
68	4080	27.25	738			500*	~	•
69	4140	27.50	741		-	510*		
70	4200	27.50	741		-	510*		
71	4260	27.50	741			510*	SN	
72	4320	27.50	741			502*	SN	Took water quality sample for com-
								plete potable analysis
•								
							-	



Well No. <u>PW-1</u>

Project Banyan Bay 81-227.3

Time	2	Water	Level	L (ft.)	Draw-	Mea-	Adjustn	nents	T	
(hr)	(min)	Held	Wet	Below MP	Down (ft)	sured by	De- water- ing	Back- ground Levels		Remarks
	3.00			31.08	23.33					
i	3.25			-	_					
	3.50			31,94	24.19					
	3.75			_	-					
	4.00			32.24	24.49					
	4.25			-	-					
	4.50			32.54	24.79	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
	4.75				·					
	5			32.82	25.07					
	6			33.37	25.62					
	7			33.77	26.02					
	8			31.15	23.40					
	9			34.48	26.73					
	10			34.75	27.00					
	12			35.47	27.72					•
	14		1 e - 1	36.00	28.25					
	16			36.25	28.50					
	18			36.54	28.79					
	20			36.84	29.09		-			
	25			37.44	29.69					<u></u>
	30			38.03	30.28					
	35			38.31	30.56					

GEE & JENSON ENGINEERS-ARCHITECTS-PLANNERS.INC.

Well No. PW-1

Project Banyan Bay 81-227.3 Starting date of Test 2-20-82

Time	2	Water	Leve	1 (ft.)	Draw-	Mea-	Adjustr	nents	1	
(hr)) (min)	Held	Wet	Below	Down	sured	De-	Back-		Romarka
				MP		Dy	ing	Levels		Remarks
15	900	47.00	0.95	46.05	38.34	JF				
16	960	47.00	0.87	46.13	38.42					
17	1020	47.00	0.90	46.10	38.39					
18	1080	47.00	0.85	46.15	38.44	 				
19	1140	47.00	0.73	46.27	38.56					
20	1200	47.00	0.59	46.41	38.70				······································	
21	1260	47.00	0.56	46.44	38.73					
22	1320	47.00	0.57	46.43	38.72				- <u></u>	
23	1380	47.00	0.55	46.45	38.74					
24	1440	48.00	1.37	46.63	38.92					
25	1500	48.00	1.40	46.60	38.89					
26	1560	48.00	1.68	46.32	38.61	JF/BB				1919 - Angel State (1999) 1919 - Angel State (1999)
27	1620	48.00	1.60	46.40	38.69	BB				
28	1680	48.00	1.66	46.34	38.63					
29	1740	48.00	1.66	46.34	38.63					
30	1800	48.00	1.60	46.40	38.69					
31	1860	48.00	1.66	46.34	38.63					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
32	1920	48.00	1.41	46.59	38.88					
33	1980	48.00	1.38	46.62	38.91					
34	2040	48.00	1.26	46.74	39.03					
35	2100	48.00	1.21	46.79	39.08					
36	2160	48.00	1.38	46.62	38.91					



Well No. PW-1

Project Banyan Bay 81-227.3

Starting date of Test 2-20-82

Time		Water	Level	(ft.)	Draw-	Mea-	Adjust	ments	1	1
(hr)	(min)	Held	Wet	Below MP	Down (ft)	sured by	De- water- ing	Back- ground Levels		Remarks
59	3540			46.94	39.25	GR				
60	3600			46.98	39.29					
61	3660			46.96	39.27					
62	3720			46.99	39.30					
63	3780			46.95	39.26		, en			
64	3840			47.03	39.34					
65	3900			46.95	39.26					
66	3960			46.98	39.29				• •	
67	4020			46.91	39.22					
68	4080			46.84	39.15					
69	4140			47.06	39.37					
70	4200			47.00	39.31				· .	
71	4260			46.93	39.24					
72	4320			47.01	39.32				· · · · ·	
	•									
		·								
									1	
							·			



Well No. OW-15

Project Banyan Bay 81-227.3

_____Starting date of Test____2-20-82

BB1S

Time	·	Water	Level	L (ft.)	Draw-	Mea-	Adjustn	nents	T .	
(hr)	(min)	Held	Wet	Below MP	Down (ft)	sured by	De- water-	Back- ground		Remarks
		1 Ha	ATO	¥	- Armin Sector Content		ing	Levers		
ļ	3.00	1 nl	KI	4.69	-0.16	RW				
	3.25	l f		4.69	-0.16	RW				
	3.50		1	4.69	-0.16					
	3.75			4.69	-0.16	[
ļ	4.00			4.69	-0.16					
	4.25			4.69	-0.16					
	4.50			4.69	-0.16					
	4.75			4.69	-0.16					······
-	5			4.69	-0.16			•		
	6			4.69	-0.16					
	7			4.69	-0.16					
	8			4.69	-0.16					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	9			4.70	-0.15	•				
	10			4.70	-0.15					
	12			4.70	-0.15					
	14			4.70	-0.15					
	16			4.70	-0.15					
	18			4.71	-0.14					
	20			4.72	-0.13					
	25			4.73	-0.12					
	30			4.76	-0.09					
	35			4.79	-0.06					

GEE & JENSON ENGINEERS-ARCHITECTS-PLANNERS, INC. G

RECORD OF WATER LEVELS

Well No. <u>OW-1S</u>

Project Banyan Bay 81-227.3

Starting date of Test ____2/20/82

Time	2	Water	Leve	 l (ft.)	Draw-	Mea-	Adjustn	nents	
(hr)	(min)) Held	Wet	Below	Down	sured	De-	Back-	
		000		MP	(ft)	by	water-	ground	Remarks
ļ		Star	y no	pe	Commentaria de la competitiva	ļ	ing	Levels	
15	900	7.00	1.12	√ _{5.88}	1.03	JF			
16	960	7.00	1.07	5.93	1.08				
17	1020	7.00	1.01	5.99	1.14				
18	1080	7.00	0.99	6.01	1.16				
19	1140	7.00	0.97	6.03	1.18				
20	1200	7.00	0.94	6.06	1.21				
_ 21	1260	7.00	0.92	6.08	1.23				
22	1320	7.00	0.92	6.08	1.23				
23	1380	7.00	0.92	6.08	1.23			••	
24	1440	7.00	0.90	6.10	1.25				
25	1500	7.00	0.88	6.12	1.27				
26	1560	7.00	0.85	6.15	1.30	JF/BB			
27	1620	7.00	0.83	6.17	1.32	BB			
28	1680	7.00	0.80	6.20	1.35				
29	1740	7.00	0.74	6.26	1.41				
30	1800	7.00	0.77	6.23	1.38				
31	1860	7.00	0.70	6.30	1.45				
32	1920	7.00	0.68	6.32	1.47				
33	1980	7.00	0.66	6.34	1.49				
34	2040	7.00	0.65	6.35	1.50				
35 ·	2100	7.00	0.64	6.36	1.51				. · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
36	2160	7.00	0.63	6.37	1.52				

GEE & JENSON ENGINEERS-ARCHITECTS-PLANNERS, INC.

RECORD OF WATER LEVELS

Well No. <u>OW-15</u>

Project

Banyan Bay 81-227.3

_____Starting date of Test___

Test____2/20/82

Time	_	Water	Leve	1 (ft.)	Draw-	Mea-	Adjustr	nents	
(hr)	(min)	Held	Wet	Below	Down	sured	De-	Back-	Domowitz
ł, "	Construction of		. 1 .	MP	(IT)	yd	water-	Iground	Remarks
59	3540	7.00	0.37	6.63	1.78	GR		Devers	
60	3600	7.00	0.37	6.63	1.78				
61	3660	7.00	0.36	6.64	1.79				
62	3720	7.00	0.35	6.65	1.80				
63	3780	7.00	0.35	6.65	1.80				
64	3840	7.00	0.32	6.68	1.83				
65	3900	7.00	0.33	6.67	1.82				
66	3960	7.00	0.30	6.70	1.85				
67	4020	7.00	0.30	6.70	1.85			•	
68	4080	7.00	0.31	6.69	1.84				 <u> </u>
69	4140	7.00	0.32	6.68	1.83				
70	4200	7.00	0.33	6.67	1.82				
71	4260	7.00	0.33	6.67	1.82	•			
72	4320	7.00	0.34	6.66	1.81				 tape m scope
			1997 - 1947 						
					-				
									 -
·									



Well Nó. OW-1S

BB1SR

Project No. 81-227.3

Starting date of Test 2/20/82

Time	2	Water	Level	L (ft.)	Draw-	Mea-	Adjustr	nents	I.	1
(hr)	(min)	Held	Wet	Below	Down	sured	De-	Back-		
Deer				MP	(ft)	by	water-	ground		Remarks
Reco	Mery	<u> </u>	1	<u> </u>	0	}	Ing	Levers		
	6	· ·		6.79	1.96	мо				·
	7.			6.78	1.95					
	8			6.81	1.98					•
 	9			6.79	1.96					
ļ	10			6.79	1.96					
	12			6.79	1.96					
	14			6.79	1.96					
	16			6.79	1.96					
	18			6.78	1.95			••		
	20			6.79	1.96	 				
	25			6.80	1.97					
	30			6.78	1.95			·		
	35			6.78	1.95					
	40			6.75	1.92					
· 	45			6.76	1.93					
	50						-			<u> </u>
1	60			6.74	1.91					
	70			-6.70	1.87					
	80			6.69	1.86					
	90	· · · · · · · ·		6.68	1.85					·
	100			6.58	1.75					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
2	120								-	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	150	·								
3	180									

GEE & JENSON ENGINEERS-ARCHITECTS-PLANNERS, INC.

RECORD OF WATER LEVELS

Well No. OW-1D

ject Banyan Bay 81-227.3

Starting date of Test 2/20/82

	r	Water	Leve	l (ft.)	Draw-	Mea-	Adjust	ments			٦
	(min)	Held	Wet	Below MP	Down (ft)	sured by	De- water-	Back- ground]	Remarks	:5
	Villa II II		ļ	·	<u>(""""", "j.</u>	ļ	ing	Levels			
	3.00			17.93	11.25	JF					
	3.25			18.17	11.49						
_	3.50			18.44	11.76	·					
	3.75			18.70	12.02					· · ·	
	4.00			18.85	12.17						
1	4.25			19.06	12.38				``		
	4.50			19.24	12.56						
	4.75			19.39 .	12.71						
	5			19.52	12.84						
	6			20.11	13.43						
	7			20.53	13.85]
	8			20.89	14.21]
	9			21.21	14.53		· · ·				
	10			21.49	14.81						
	12			22.05	15.37						
	14			22.47	15.79						
	16			22.86	16.18						
	18	-		23.24	16.56					·	
	20			23.47	16.79						
				24.06	17.38						
ţ				24.53	17.75						
				24.96	18.28						



Well No. OW-1D

Project Banyan Bay 81-227.3

_____Starting date of Test_ 2/20/82

а Ì.

Time	_	Water	Leve	1 (ft.)	Draw-	Mea-	Adjust	ments		
(hr)	(min)	Held	Wet	Below MP	Down (ft)	sured by	De- water-	Back- ground		Remarks
ļ	<u></u>	ļ	ļ				ing	Levels		
59	3540	34.00	0.46	33.54	26.87	GR				
60	3600	34.00	0.44	33.56	26.89					
61	3660	34.00	0.44	33.56	26.89					
62	3720	34.00	0.45	33.55	26.88					
63	3780	34.00	0.47	33.53	26.86					
64	3840	34.00	0.43	33.57	26.90					
65	3900	34.00	0.48	33.52	26.85					
66	3960	34.00	0.47	33.53	26.86	SN			, ,	
67	4020	34.00	0.50	33.50	26.83					
68	4080	34.00	0.55	33.45	26.78	``````````````````````````````````````				
69	4140	34.00	0.44	33.56	26.89					
70	4200	34.00	0.45	33.55	26.87					
71	4260	34.00	0.46	33.54	26.85		· · · ·			
72	4320	34.00	0.45	33.55	26.87					Tape <u>M scope</u>
		· · · · · ·								
	<u></u>									
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·								

GEE & JENSON ENGINEERS-ARCHITECTS-PLANNERS.INC.

Well No. OW-2D

Project<u>Banyan Bay</u> 81-227.3 _____Starting date of Test____2/20/82

BBZD

Time		Water	Leve	<u>l (ft.)</u>	Draw-	Mea-	Adjust	nents		
(hr)	(min)	Held	Wet	Below	Down	sured	De-	Back-	1	
	Second Contraction	1		MP	(ft)	by	water-	ground		Remarks
					1 Vient	· · · ·	ing	Levels	ļ	
ļ	3.00	 	ļ	11.18	4.55	GR				
	3.25		ļ	11.41	4.78					
	3.50	 		11.57	4.94					
	3.75			11.74	5.11					
	4.00	· .		11.93	5.31					
	4.25			12.08	5.45					
	4.50			12.24	5.61					
	4.75			12.38	5.75					
	5	- -		12.54	5.91			•		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	6			13.04	6.41			an a	e entre sign of	
	7			13.46	6.83					
	8			13.82	7.19					
	9			14.13	7.50	•				
	10			14.39	7.76					
	12			14.90	8.27					
	14			15.31	8.67					
	16			15.67	9.03					
	18			15.99	9,35					
	20			16.27	9.63					
·	25 ·			16.84	10.20					
	30			17.31	10.66					
	35			17.75	11.10					



Well No. <u>OW-2D</u>

Project Banyan Bay

81-227.3

_____Starting date of Test_ 2/20/82

(hr) (min) Held Wet Below MP Down (ft) sured by De- water- ing Back- ground Levels Re 15 900 27.00 1.47 25.53 18.88 JF -	marks
MP (It) by water- ing ground Levels Re 15 900 27.00 1.47 25.53 18.88 JF	
15 900 27.00 1.47 25.53 18.88 $_{JF}$ Image: Ima	
1590027.00 1.47 25.53 18.88 JF 1696027.00 1.39 25.61 18.96 17102027.00 1.37 25.63 18.98 18108027.00 1.33 25.67 19.02 19114027.00 1.24 25.76 19.11 20120027.00 1.18 25.82 19.17 21126027.00 1.16 25.84 19.19	
16 960 27.00 1.39 25.61 18.96 17 1020 27.00 1.37 25.63 18.98 18 1080 27.00 1.33 25.67 19.02 19 1140 27.00 1.24 25.76 19.11 20 1200 27.00 1.18 25.82 19.17 21 1260 27.00 1.16 25.84 19.19	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	
18 1080 27.00 1.33 25.67 19.02 19 1140 27.00 1.24 25.76 19.11 20 1200 27.00 1.18 25.82 19.17 21 1260 27.00 1.16 25.84 19.19	
19 1140 27.00 1.24 25.76 19.11 20 1200 27.00 1.18 25.82 19.17 21 1260 27.00 1.16 25.84 19.19	
20 1200 27.00 1.18 25.82 19.17 21 1260 27.00 1.16 25.84 19.19	
21 1260 27.00 1.16 25.84 19.19	
22 1320 27.00 1.15 25.85 19.20	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
23 1380 27.00 1.12 25.88 19.23	
24 1440 27.00 1.05 25.95 19.30	
25 1500 27.00 1.02 25.98 19.33	
26 1560 27.00 1.12 25.88 19.23 JF/BB	en dia amin'ny faritr'i Andrea. Andrea: Andrea: Andrea: Andrea: Andrea:
27 1620 27.00 1.11 25.89 19.24 · BB	
28 1680 27.00 1.23 25.77 19.12	
29 1740 27.00 1.08 25.92 19.27	
30 1800 27.00 1.05 25.95 19.30	
31 1860 27.00 1.07 25.93 19.28	
32 1920 27.00 0.97 26.03 19.38	
33 1980 27.00 0.95 26.05 19.40	
34 2040 27.00 0.91 26.09 19.44	
35 2100 27.00 0.89 26.11 19.46	
36 2160 27.00 0.89 26.11 19.46	



Well No. <u>OW-2D</u>

Project Banyan Bay 81-227.3

Starting date of Test 2/20/82

Time	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	Water	Leve	1 (ft.)	Draw-	Mea-	Adjustn	nents		1
(hr)	(min)	Held	Wet	Below	Down	sured	De-	Back-	1	
				MP		by	ing	Levels		Remarks
59	3540	27.00	0.65	26.35	19.70	GR		1		
60	3600	27.00	0.65	26.35	19.70					
61	3660	27.00	0.66	26.34	19.69					å
62	3720	27.00	0.60	26.40	19.75		: · ·	· .		
63	3780	27.00	0.67	26.33	19.68					
64	3840	27.00	0.64	26.36	19.71					,
65	3900	27.00	0.66	26.34	19.69					
66	3960	27.00	0.65	26.35	19.70					
67	4020	27.00	0.67	26.33	19.68			•	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
6.8	4080	27.00	0.70	26.30	19.65					
69	4140	27.00	0.62	26.38	19.73					
70	4200	27.00	0.64	26.36	19.71					
71	4260	27.00	0.63	26.37	19.72					
72	4320	27.00	0.63	26.37	19.72					Tape M-Scope
				-					-	
							-			
				-						
·										



BBADR

Well No. <u>OW-2D</u>

Project Banyan Bay 81-227.3 Starting date of Test 2/20/82

Time	2	Water	Level	(ft.)	Draw-	Mea-	Adjustm	nents		
(hr)	(min)	Held	Wet	Below	Down	sured	De-	Back-	1.	
				MP	(ft)	by	water-	ground		Remarks
Reco	very	ļ		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	(ing	Levels		
	6	 		19.78	13.08	GR				
· }	7.			19.38	12.68					
 	8			19.02	12.32				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
 	9			18.72	12.02					
]	10			18.43	11.73					
	12			17.98	11.28					
, . 	14			17.59	10.89					
 	16			17.24	10.54					
	18			16.95	10.25					
	20			16.68	9.98					
	25			16.12	9.42					
	30			15.63	8.93			-		
	35			15.22	8.52		·			
	40			14.86	8.16					
	45			14.54	7.84					
- · ·	50			14.25	7.55	-				
1	60			13.74	7.04					
	70			13.30	6.60					
	80			12.88	6.18					
	90			12.50	5.80					
	100			_	-					
2	120			11.69	4.99					
	150									
					<u> </u>	1		T		

GEE & JENSON ENGINEERS-ARCHITECTS-PLANNERS, INC.

RECORD OF WATER LEVELS

Well No. OW-3D

BB3D

Project Banyan Bay 81-227.3

Time	······································	Water	Tievel	(f+)	Draw-	Moa-	Adjust	ente	l	T
(hr)	(min)	Held	Wet	Below	Down	sured	De-	Back-		
/	(MP	(ft)	by	water-	ground	SG-2	Remarks
		Static	5.15			. 4	ing	Levels		
15	900	22.00	1.57	20.43	15.27	JF			0.48	
16	960	22.00	1.49	20.51	15.35				0.48	
17	1020	22.00	1.46	20.54	15.38				0.48	
18	1080	22.00	1.42	20.58	15.42				0.475	
19	1140	22.00	1.35	20.65	15.49				0.475	
20	1200	22.00	1.30	20.70	15.54				0.475	·
21	1260	22.00	1.26	20.74	15.58				0.475	
22	1320	22.00	1.25	20.75	15.59				0.475	
23	1380	22.00	1.22	20.78	15.62				0.475	
24	1440	22.00	1.16	20.84	15.68				0.475	
25	1500	22.00	1.16	20.84	15.68				_	
26	1560	22.00	1.20	20.80	15.64				_	
27	1620	22.00	1.20	20.80	15.64				-	
28	1680	22.00	1.17	20.83	15.67				_	
29	1740	22.00	1.17	20.83	15.67				_	
30	1800	22.00	1.14	20.86	15.70				-	
31	1860	22.00	1.15	20.85	15.69				-	5
32	1920	22.00	1.06	20.94	15.78		1			
33	1980	22.00	1.06	20.94	15.78		·		·	
34	2040	22.00	1.02	20.98	15.82				-	
35 -	2100	22.00	0.99	21.01	15.85				-	
36	2160	22.00	0.99	21.01	15.85			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	-	



Well No. <u>OW-3D</u>

Project Banyan Bay 81-227.3

Starting date of Test 2/20/82

Time		Water	Leve	1 (ft.)	Draw-	Mea-	Adjustm	nents		The second second
(hr)	(min)	Held	Wet	Below MP	Down (ft)	sured by	De- water-	Back- ground	SG-2	Remarks
50	2540	22 00	0.75	21 25	16.00	CD		Devers	0.00	
59	3540	22.00	0.75	21.25	16.09	GK		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	0.38	
60	3600	22.00	0.75	21.25	16.09				0.38	
61	3660	22.00	0.76	21.24	16.08				0.38	
62	3720	22.00	0.76	21.24	16.08				0.38	
63	3780	22.00	0.77	21.23	16.07				0.38	
64	3840	22.00	0.74	21.26	16.10				0.37	
65	3900	22.00	0.77	21.23	16.07				0.37	
66	3960	22.00	0.75	21.25	16.09				0.37	
67	4020	22.00	0.76	21.24	16.08			•	0.37	
68	4080	22.00	0.78	21.22	16.06				0.36	
69	4140	22.00	0.78	21.22	16.06				0.36	
70	4200	22.00	0.75	21.25	16.09				0.36	
71	4260	22.00	0.75	21.25	16.09	•				
72	4320	22.00	0.75	21.25	16.09				0.35	Tape M-scope
		l_	I				l.		l	



Well No. <u>OW-3D</u>

BB3DR

Project Banyan Bay 81-227.3

_____Starting date of Test___2/20/82__

Time (hr)	(min)	Water Held	Leve: Wet	l (ft.) Below MP	Draw- Down (ft)	Mea- sured by	Adjustn De- water-	Back- ground	SG-2	Remarks
Recc	very	,	 	<u> </u>	Contraction of the second s		ing	Levels		· · · · ·
	6	1		17.70	12.53	ну				
	7.			17.36	12.19					
	8			17.08	11.91					•
	9			16.80	11.63					
 	10			16.54	11.37					
	12			16.13	10.96					
	14			15.77	10.60					
	16			15.45.	10.28					
	18	· · · ·		15.17	10.00			••		
	20	· · · ·		14.93	9.76					
	25			14.42	9.25					
	30			13.95	8.78					
	35			13.56	5 8.39					
	40			13.20	8.03					
	45			-12.91	7.74					
-	50			12.63	7.46					
1	60			12.15	6.98					
<u>`.</u>	70		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	- 11.71	6.54				0.34	
	80			11.35	6.18	.		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
	90			10.99	5.82					·
	100			_	-				•	
2	120			10.20	5.03					
	150									-
T			T	1	1	T				

STEP DRAWDOWN

TEST DATA

STEP DRAWDOWN TEST

MANOMETER READINGS

 PROJECT
 Banyan Bay
 81-227.3
 LOCATION
 100' north of PW-1

 METHOD OF MEASURING
 6" x 5" manometer
 AVERAGE DISCHARGE
 892
 GPN

 STARTING DATE OF TEST
 2/19/82
 2/19/82
 2/19/82
 2/19/82

Step 1

	ime) (min)	Inches	charge	Gage	(oC)) Cond. (umhos	s/cm)	sured		Remarks	
	.5	48.0	055	•				JF	1		
	1	52.0	000						1.		
	- 1.5	59.0	942	-	1					•	
	2	59.0	942							· · ·	
	2.5	59.0	942								
	3.0	59.0	942								-
	3.5	58.5	938								
	4.0	58.0	934								
	4.5	58.0	934							<u>.</u>	
 	5.0	57.5	930								
	. 6	57.5	930					-	•	·	
	7	57.0	927						•		
	8	57.0	927		•						
	9	56.5	923				·		:		
	10	57.0	927			•.					
	12	56.5	923				·		<u></u>		
	14	56.0	-919			·		·			
	16	56.0	919								_
	18	55.0	912							•	
	20	55.0	912								_
	25	55.0	912							· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	30	54.0	904								

A GEE & JENSON INANTIKA MULTICIA PLANARA INC

STEP DRAWDOWN TEST

MANOMETER READINGS

 PROJECT
 Banyan Bay
 81-227.3
 LOCATION
 100' north of PW-1

 METHOD OF MEASURING
 6" x 5" orifice
 AVERAGE DISCHARGE
 462
 GPM

 STARTING DATE OF TEST
 2/19/82
 2/19/82
 2/19/82
 2/19/82

71	me		J Dis-	Start	J Temp	Cond.	Mea-	
(hr)	(min)	Inches	charge (com)	(fr)	(00)	(umnos/cm		Remarks
	.5	13.0	448	•			JF	
	1	11.0.	412			-		
	1.5	11.0	412			•		
	2	12.0	430				ļ	
	2.5	17.0	510					
	3.0	17.5	517					
	3.5	17.5	517					
	4.0	13.5	457		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
	4.5	12.5	439					
	5.0	11.0	412					
	. 6	15.5	488				-	
	7	13.5	457					
	8	11.5	421					
	9	10.5	402					:
	10	10.5	402					
	12	11.0	412					
	14	16.0	-495					
	16	26.0	620					
	18	34.0	715					
	20	17.0	510					
	25	17.5	517					
-	30	13.5	457					

STEP DRAWDOWN TEST

MANOMETER READINGS

PROJECT_	Banyan Bay	81-227.3	LOCATION 100 nort	h of PW-1	
METHOD 0	F MEASURING_	6" x 5" orifice	AVERAGE DISCHARGE	306	GPN

STARTING DATE OF TEST 2/19/82

			i lije-	Start	Stari (Temp (Cond.			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	.me	Toches	charge	Gage	Temp		sured	Remarks
<u>(hr)</u>	<u>(min)</u>		(gpm)	(IE) ·	(00)	(umnos/cm	1 bv	
	5	6.5	<u>316</u> ·				JF	
	1	6.25.	311					
	• 1.5	6.00	305					
	2	6.25	311					
	2.5	6.00	305					
	3.0	6.00	305					
	3.5	5.75	297					
	4.0	5.75	297					······
	4.5	5.75	297					
	5.0	5.75	297					
	. 6	6.00	305	,				
	7	5.75	297				•	
	8	6.00	305		•			
	9	6.25	311					
	10	6.25	311					
	12	6.50	316					
	14	6.00	-305					
·	16	6.00	305					
	18	6.00	305					
	20	6.00	305					
	25	6.00	305					
	30	6.00	305					•



ENGINEERS-ARCHITECTS-PLANNERS, INC.

2019 OKEECHOBEE BOULEVARD, WEST PALM BEACH, FLORIDA . . . 33409 . . . 305 - 683-3301

FRE RICH WAI WILL	D A. GREENE, P.I IARD M. MILLER TER D. STEPHER IAM G. WALLAG	E . P.E NS, JR., P.E. CE, JR., P.L.S.				· ·				
JOH	N C. WISE, P.E.	JR. A.I.A.			STEP DR	AWDOWN I	EST			
H.C. THE	GEE, P.E. DORE B. JENSC	N, P.E.				Step 1	•	•		
					REC	ORD OF	WATER L	EVELS		
					Wel	1 No	PW-1			
Proj	ect	No. 81-	-227.3			_ Locat	ion Bar	iyan Bay		
Elev	vation_	13.25			MSL	Measu	ring Po	int <u>Top</u>	of 12	inch casing
Dist	ance to	o Pumpe	ed We	11	fe	eet Di	scharge	892		GPM
Iota	1 Deptl	n 130	fee	et Ca	sed Der	oth	60 fe	et Di	ameter	20 x 12 IN
Star	ting Da	ate of	Test	2/19	/82				· · · ·	
Fime		Water	c Leve	el (ft)	Draw-	Mea-	Adjust	ments	[Remarks
(hr)	(min)	Held	Wet	Below MP	Down (ft)	sured by	De- water-	Ba ck- ground Levels		
							<u>_</u>			
				7.51		JF/RW				Static 1023
				7.51						1025
					•					1030 pump on
	.25									
	.50			-						
	.75				· · ·					
	1.00									
	1.25			35.40	27.89					
	1.50			36.50	28.99					
	1.75			37.28	29.77					
	2.00			37.85	30.34					
	2.25			38.35	30.84					
	2.50			38.90	31.39					
	2 75			39.22	31.71					

GEE & JENSON FININEERS-ARCHITECTS-PLANNERS, INC. æ

RECORD OF WATER LEVELS STEP DRAWDOWN TEST

Well No. PW-1

Project Banyan Bay 81-227.3

Starting date of Test 2/19/82

Step 1 (continued)

Time	Э	Water	Level	(ft.)	Draw-	Mea-	Adjust	ments	1	1
(hr) (min)	Held	Wet	Below	Down	sured	De-	Back-		
				MP	(ft)	by	water-	ground		Remarks
							ing	Levels]	
	40			48.51	41.00	RW				
	45.	 		48.79	41.28		<u> </u>			
	50			49.10	41.59					J
1	60			49.61	42.10					
	70			50.00	42.54					
	80			50.44	42.93					
	90			50.96	43.45					
	100			51.22	43.71					
2	120			51.71	44.20					
	150			52.23	44.72					Conductivity 425 umhos/cm
3	180									
4	240				•			N .		specific capa city at the e
5	300									of the first step = 19.9gp
6	360									ft. of draw- down.
7	420									
8	480									
9	540									
10	600		-	-						
11	660	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·								*
12	720		·							·
13	780									
14	840									

GEE & JENSON ENGINEERS-ARCHIFECTS-PLANNERS, INC.

RECORD OF WATER LEVELS STEP DRAWDOWN TEST Well No. <u>PW-1</u>

Project Banyan Bay 81-227.3

Starting date of Test 2/19/82

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		1			St	<u>;ep 2 (co</u>	ntinued)			
(hr)	(min)) Held	Wet	l (ft.) Below MP	Draw- Down (ft)	Mea- sured by	Adjustn De- water-	Back- ground		Remarks
	3.00			45.05	37.54	RW		Levers		
 	3.25			45.15	37.64					
	3.50			45.17	37.66					•
	3.75			44.35	36.84	-				
	4.00			44.28	36.77					
	4.25	 		44.18	36.67					
	4.50			44.12	36.61					
	4.75			44.06	36.55				· ·	
	5			44.00	36.49					
	6			43.86	36.35					
	7			43.67	36.16					
	8			43.53	36.02					
	9			43.58	36.07					
	10			43.53	36.02					
	12			43.34	35.83					
	14			43.27	35.76					-
	16			43.17	35.66				·	
	18			-43.13	35.62					
	20			43.01	35.50					
	25			42.98	35.47	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
	30			42.95	35.44					
	35			42.93	35.42					



2019 OKEECHOBEE BOULEVARD, WEST PALM BEACH, FLORIDA . . . 33409 . . . 305 - 683-3301

FRI RIC WA WII PHI JOI	ED A GREENE, P HARD M. MILLEI LITER D. STEPHE LIAM G. WALLA LIP A. CRANNEL IN C. WISE, P.E	.E.: R. P.E. NS. JR., P.E. ICE. JR., P.L.S. L JR., A.I.A								• .	
Dire H.C THE	ctor Emeritus GEE, P.E. CODORE B. JENS	ON, P.E.			STEP DI	RAWDOWN 1	TEST				
				•	REC	tep 3 ORD OF	WATER L	EVELS		· . 	×
					Well	1 No	PW-1			•	
Pro	ject <u>No</u>	. 81-22	27.3			Locat	ion <u>Bar</u>	iyan Bay			-
Ele	vation_	13.25		·	MSL	Measu	ring Po	int <u>Top</u>	of 12 i	nch_casing	
Dist	tance t	o Pump	ed We	11	fe	eet Di	scharge	462			GPM
rota	al Dept	h <u>130</u>	fe	et Ca	sed Dep	oth <u>6</u>	<u>0</u> fe	et Di	ameter	20_x 12	IN
Stai	cting D	ate of	Test	2/19	/82						
rime	2	Wate:	r Lev	el (ft)	Draw-	Mea-	Adjust	ments		Remarks	
(hr)	(min)	Held	Wet	Below MP	(ft)	by	De- water- ing	Back- ground Levels		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
			1					·			
,										<u></u>	
			1							<u>, </u>	
							,				
	.25			36.82	29.31	RW					
	.50			- 36.65	29.14						
	.75			36.48	28.97						
	1.00			36.33	28.82						
۰.	1.25		-		-						
	1.50			37.25	29.74						
	1.75		-	37.49	29.98						
	2.00			37.51	30.00						
	2.25			37.55	30.04						
	2.50			37.52	30.01					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	2 75			37 54	20.02						

GEE & JENSON ENGINEERS-ARCHITECTS-PLANNERS, INC. A

RECORD OF WATER LEVELS STEP DRAWDOWN TEST Well No. PW-1

Project Banyan Bay 81-227.3

Starting date of Test 2/19/82

нÌ.

	-		<u>.</u>		St	сер 3 (со	ontinued)			
Time	2	Water	Level	(ft.)	Draw-	Mea-	Adjust	ments		
(hr)	(min)	Held	Wet	Below	Down	sured	De-	Back-	7	
		1		MP	(ft)	by	water-	ground	•	Remarks
		ļ					ing	Levels		
	40	 		34.31	26.80	RW				
	45			34.21	26.70					
	50			34.09	26.58		1			a
1	60			33.97	26.46					
	70			33.86	26.35					
	80			33.79	26.28		1			
	90			33.72	26.21					
800 	100			33.68	26.17					•
2	120			33.58	26.07					
- <u></u>	150					······································				Specific capa city at the e
3	180									of 3rd step = 17.7 gpm/ft c
4	240				•					drawdown.
5	300									
6	360									
7	420			:						
8	480									
9	540								<u> </u>	
10	600			-		······································				~
11	660									
12	720								· · ·	· · ·
13	780									
14	840							· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		

GEE & JENSON ENGINEERS-ARCHITECTS-PLANNERS, INC. A

RECORD OF WATER LEVELS STEP DRAWDOWN TEST Well No. PW-1

Project Banyan Bay 81-227.3

3

Starting date of Test 2/19/82

.

Step 4 (continued)

Time	·····	Water	Level	(ft.)	Draw-	Mea-	Adjustn	ents	T .	1
(hr)	(min)	Held	Wet	Below MP	Down (ft)	sured by	De- water- ing	Back- ground Levels		Remarks
	3.00	 		27.93	20.42	RW				
	3.25			27.86	20.35					
	3.50			27.78	20.27					
	3.75			27.75	20.24					
	4.00			27.71	20.20					
	4.25			27.65	20.14					
	4.50			27.61	20.10					:
	4.75			27.57	20.06					<u></u>
	5			27.53	20.02			•		
	6			27.41	19.90					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	7			27.28	19.77					
<u></u>	8			27.58	20.07					
	9		.	27.60	20.09					
	10			27.57	20.06					
 	12			-27.50	19.90					
-	14			27.22	19.71					
	16			27.05	19.54					
	18			- 26.95	19.44					
	20			27.88	20.37					
·	25			26.73	19.22					
	30			26.59	19.08					
	35			26.50	18.99					