Berry Groves Test Core Well CCBRY-1 Caloosahatchee River ASR Well Completion Report

SOUTH FLORIDA WATER MANAGEMENT DISTRICT

South Florida Water Management District Roberto Verrastro Project Manager Michael Bennett Project Geologist

Water Resource Solutions, Inc. Lloyd E. Horvath Mark S. Pearce, Ph.D.

Project Director Project Manager

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PAGE

LIST OF FIGURES

- FIGURE 1-1 PROJECT LOCATION MAP
- FIGURE 2-1 CCBRY-1 WELL CONSTRUCTION DETAILS
- FIGURE 3-1 HYDROGEOLOGIC SECTION FOR THE CALOOSAHATCHEE RIVER **SITE**

LIST OF TABLES

- TABLE 2-1 A CHRONOLOGY OF FIELD EVENTS IN COMPLETING TEST CORE CCBRY-1
- TABLE 2-2 CORE SAMPLES SELECTED FOR LABORATORY ANALYSES
- TABLE 2-3 CORE RECOVERY LOG

LIST OF APPENDICES

- APPENDIX 2-1 GEOLOGIST'S LOG
- APPENDIX 2-2 DIGITAL PHOTOGRAPHS OF RETRIEVED CORES
- APPENDIX 2-3 SPECIALTY GEOPHYSICAL LOGGING PLOTS

1.0 INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background

To support the ongoing program to evaluate aquifer storage and recovery within the Caloosahatchee River Basin, the South Florida Water Management District (SFWMD), in association with the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (USACE), determined that an additional test boring should be taken at the Berry Groves site. The purpose of this test boring was to obtain continuous rock core samples in the interval from 200 to 1,000 feet below land surface (bls) for further evaluation of the subsurface conditions.

The SFWMD awarded the contract to perform this work to Diversified Drilling Corporation (DDC) of Tampa, Florida. DDC mobilized to the site on October 4, 2004.

1.2 Scope

In accordance with the contract for professional services for CERP Caloosahatchee ASR pilot (contract no. C-C13301P dated March 14, 2002), the project required that the following activities be performed:

- Collect core material over the depth range between 200 and 1,000 feet bls.
- Prepare detailed lithologic descriptions of drill cuttings and cores.
- Obtain geophysical logs over the specified depth range.
- Photo-documentation (digital photos) of retrieved cores.
- Send specified core sections to Wolf Technologies, Inc. for further analyses.
- Handling, labeling, packaging, and temporary storage of core samples for delivery to the Florida Geological Survey (FGS).

This report summarizes the drilling/coring, construction, and geophysical logging operations that occurred during the development of this test well.

1.3 Project Location

The core well site, identified as CCBRY-1, is located in the northwestern quadrant of the northeastern corner of Section 6, Township 44 South, Range 28 East, southwest of the City of LaBelle, in Hendry County, Florida. The site is on property owned by the SFWMD that is referred to as "Berry Groves". The project site is adjacent to State Road 80 and borders the eastern edge of the Townsend Canal, and it is accessible through Berry Groves citrus farm. The well is located east of the Townsend Canal and south of the east-west trending Header Canal approximately 950 feet generally west of the exploratory well EXBRY-1. The wellbore coordinates are latitude 26°41'13" north and longitude 81°33'24" west as measured by Water Resource Solutions (WRS) using a 100-foot measuring tape and the coordinates for EXBRY-1 for reference. The site and test boring location are shown on Figure 1-1.

2.0 DRILLING, CORING, GEOPHYSICAL LOGGING, AND WELLBORE CONSTRUCTION

DDC performed the drilling/coring of test boring CCBRY-1 in two phases. During the first phase, pilot-hole drilling and wire-line coring operations were performed using a truck-mounted table-driven rotary drilling rig ("Faling" 1500). The second phase, which consisted of conventional coring operations, specialty geophysical logging, and final wellbore construction, was accomplished using a larger truck-mounted table-driven rotary drilling rig ("Speedstar" 2500). The second rig was mobilized by DDC to improve core recovery below 600 feet bls.

All drilling, reaming, and coring operations were performed using the mud-rotary method. The drill strings were advanced using a kelly bar. Pit casing was not used. The mud tub provided the sole support structure for the initial borehole. Fresh water was provided by an existing production well located at the drilling site of EXBRY-1. Drill cuttings were continuously collected and described in the field (see Geologist's Log in Appendix 2-1). Table 2-1 provides a chronology and brief description of drilling, coring, and testing activities at this site.

2.1 Pilot-Hole Drilling to 200 Feet Below Land Surface

On October 4, 2004, DDC mobilized the drilling rig and other equipment to the site. On October 6, 2004, a pilot hole was drilled from land surface to 100.5 feet bls by mudrotary circulation using a nominal 6-inch-diameter tri-cone roller bit. The following day, the pilot hole was over-drilled from land surface to 100 feet bls using a nominal 12-inchdiameter tri-cone reaming bit. The initial wellbore construction consisted of "Monoflex" nominal 8-inch diameter by 10-foot-long Schedule 40 PVC flush thread casing joints set to 100 feet bls and sealed at depth by hole plug (sodium bentonite chips) placed in the annulus to approximately 38 feet bls. The pilot hole was then advanced using a nominal 8-inch-diameter tri-cone bit from 100 to 140 feet bls. On October 11, 2004, a 6 inch-diameter PVC casing was installed in the 8-inch borehole to 140 feet bls. Pilothole drilling continued that same day from 140 to 152 feet bls using a nominal 6-inch drill bit. By the end of this same day, a 4-inch-diameter steel casing was installed inside the 6-inch casing to 152 feet bls. The steel casing string was sealed in place by approximately five vertical feet of sodium bentonite chips used as hole plug in the annulus. On October 12, 2004, preliminary coring began from 152 feet bls using a 10 foot-long inner core barrel designed for cutting 2-inch-diameter cores. The pilot hole was then advanced by drilling from 157 to 185 feet bls using a nominal 4-inch drill bit. On October 13, 2004, the 4-inch steel casing was driven down to a depth of 187 feet bls using the rig's hammer. The preliminary coring continued from 187 to 200 feet bls.

2.2 Wire-Line Coring to 610 Feet Below Land Surface

On October 13, 2004, immediately following the preliminary coring to 200 feet bls, the official test core was advanced from 200 to 230 feet bls, using the same coring assembly. Wire-line coring continued from 230 to 310 feet bls. DDC reported flowing conditions after retrieving the core from 300 to 310 feet bls. From October $15th$ to October 21st, 2004, the test core boring was advanced from 310 to 600 feet bls. The core recovery efficiency decreased below a depth of 550 feet bls. There was no core recovery in the interval from 570 to 600 feet bls due to the fine-grained nature of the sand encountered in the region. Sand samples were collected from the desander during this phase of the drilling. On October 22, 2004, wire-line coring operations ended at a depth of 610 feet bls. A lithologic description of the recovered core samples collected during wire-line coring is provided in Appendix 2-1.

Each 2-inch-diameter core was measured and photographed using a digital camera. The core samples were then described, double wrapped first in cellophane then in aluminum foil, labeled, and packed in a cardboard core box before being placed in a wooden crate for storage and final shipment. Appendix 2-2 provides a compact disk containing photographs of each retrieved core sample. Throughout wire-line coring operations, core sections collected at pre-selected depth intervals were carefully double wrapped immediately after retrieval to avoid dehydration, and shipped to Wolf Technologies, Inc. for laboratory analysis. Table 2-2 provides a summary of core sections sent for laboratory analysis showing collection date, collection depth, and sample length. A core recovery log listing core percent recovery is provided in Table 2- 3. An overall core recovery percentage of approximately 85% was achieved during wire-line coring operations in the interval from 200 to 610 feet bls.

2.3 Conventional Coring to 1,012 Feet Below Land Surface

DDC was unable to advance the test core boring past 610 feet bls and elected to suspend coring operations and trip out the coring string, remove both 4-inch and 6-inch temporary casing strings, and move the initial drilling rig off the hole. Due to wellbore conditions, on October 28, 2004, DDC completed demobilization of equipment, capped the wellbore, and removed the "Faling" 1500 rig from the site.

On November 1, 2004, DDC mobilized a larger drilling rig ("Speedstar" 2500) better equipped for conventional coring. From November 11th to November 17th, 2004, DDC reamed out the 8-inch-diameter temporary casing and advanced the borehole to 200 feet bls, using a 7-7/8-inch lead bit with a nominal 13-inch hole opener. On November 18, 2004, an 8-inch-diameter, 0.375-inch wall, steel casing was set in the reamed borehole at 200 feet bls and sealed in place using bentonite hole plug. Drilling operations resumed the following day using a 7-7/8-inch tri-cone drill bit. The mudded hole was advanced to 488 feet bls when the contractor realized that the drilling mud had begun circulating around the outside of the steel casing set at 200 feet bls.

On November 30, 2004, DDC removed the 8-inch steel temporary casing and reamed out the borehole using a 7-7/8-inch lead bit in combination with a nominal 13-inch reaming bit. The following day, the same steel casing was re-installed at a depth of 206 feet bls. The casing string included a 1.5-foot-long rubber packer attached by clamps and positioned on the bottom end of the string. Rock was used to fill the rubber packer followed by "Enviroplug" grout. The grout was placed by tremie pipe, to seal the casing in the lowermost section of the borehole. On December 2, 2004, the borehole was

advanced to a depth of 610 feet bls, the last cored interval depth. On December 7, 2004, drilling fluid had leaked around the outside of the re-installed steel casing and the well flowed continuously. On December 9th, 2004, the well flow was controlled by increasing the mud weight with barite. During the following week, DDC removed the steel casing; installed 8-inch-diameter Certa-Lok PVC casing to 200 feet bls; and cemented the casing in the borehole by employing the tremie grouting method using Portland Type 2 cement. The top of the annular cement was tagged at six feet bls. From December $14th$ to December 20th, 2004, the borehole was advanced to a depth of 610 feet bls, using a 7-1/4-inch tri-cone drill bit.

On December 21, 2004, conventional coring operations began from a depth of 610 feet bls. Coring was accomplished using a 20-foot-long inner core barrel designed for cutting 4-inch-diameter cores. Core recovery was 50% between 610 and 739 feet bls. The core recovery efficiency sharply decreased to 34% in the interval from 739 to 774 feet bls. Good core recovery was accomplished below this depth to a depth of 1,012 feet, the termination depth of the test boring. A Lithology of recovered core samples collected during conventional coring is provided in Appendix 2-1. Table 2-3 summarizes core recovery by depth. An overall core recovery percentage of 71.5% was achieved during conventional coring operations in the interval from 610 to 1,012 feet bls.

Each 4-inch-diameter core was measured and photographed using a digital camera (see photos in Appendix 2-2). The core samples were then described, double wrapped in plastic sheeting, labeled, and placed in a wooden crate for storage. Throughout conventional coring operations, core sections collected at pre-selected depth intervals were carefully double wrapped and shipped to Wolf Technologies, Inc. for laboratory analysis. Representative core sections pre-selected for laboratory analysis are listed in Table 2-2.

From January 4^{th} to January 5^{th} , 2005, the test core boring was over-drilled using a 7-1/4-inch tri-cone drill bit. The following day, Schlumberger Oilfield Services successfully completed specialty geophysical logging of the test hole to the termination depth, recording a bottom tag of 1,012 feet bls. The logging suite included the following: natural gamma spectroscopy, electron capture spectroscopy, high-definition array induction, spontaneous potential, micro-resistivity, compensated neutron, compensated density w/ PE or PEF, dipole sonic imager, formation micro-imager, and 4-arm caliper. Specialty geophysical logging plots are provided in Appendix 2-3.

2.4 Back-Plugging and Final Wellbore Construction

On January 7, 2005, the test hole was back-plugged from the termination depth (1,012 feet bls) to 212 feet bls, using $\frac{1}{4}$ -inch limestone gravel. The contractor pumped 16 cubic feet of cement slurry (Portland mixed with 3% bentonite) atop the gravel. On January 10, 2005, the 8-inch-diameter PVC temporary casing was over-drilled to eight feet bls, using a nominal 13-inch reaming bit in combination with a 7-1/4-inch lead bit. There were no site activities from January 12th through January 25th, 2005, while the SFWMD reviewed all drilling, coring, and geophysical logging data to formulate options for going forward with this project. Based on the decision to temporarily abandon this well, a 4-inch-diameter PVC riser was installed inside the 8-inch-diameter PVC casing, previously over-drilled to eight feet bls. The 4-inch casing extension was fitted with a Kpacker used to secure and seal it inside the 8-inch casing. The annulus was then grouted to the surface using neat cement. On February 4, 2005, a 4-foot by 4-foot well pad was constructed using SacCrete. On February 7, 2005, a 7-foot by 7-foot protective bumper cage was installed around the well pad. The capped well riser's finished top edge measures 1.7 feet above finished grade. Final wellbore construction details are presented on Figure 2-1.

3.0 HYDROGEOLOGIC FRAMEWORK

The hydrostratigraphy of the project site is based on interpretations of the geologist's field log describing all drill cuttings and cores recovered at the continuous core site CCBRY-1; interpretations of specialty geophysical logging results for CCBRY-1; interpretations of the geologist's field log and geophysical logs from the exploratory well EXBRY-1; and correlations with nearby sites. The geologist's field log for CCBRY-1, Appendix 2-1, is based on drill cuttings and core samples collected and described in the field using the Dunham Classification scheme (Dunham, 1962). Three principal aquifer systems were penetrated in the test core boring. In descending order of occurrence, they are the surficial aquifer system, intermediate aquifer system, and Floridan aquifer system. Figure 3-1 provides an illustration of a hydrogeologic section for the Caloosahatchee River site.

3.1 Surficial Aquifer System

The site-specific lithologic data indicate that the surficial aquifer is less than 10 feet thick at CCBRY-1. The aquifer is composed of clayey fine-grained quartz sand that contains some silt and organic detritus. Similar surficial quartz sand deposits blanket much of the region and are generally considered to have been deposited on the Pamlico terrace, as described by Healey (1975).

3.2 Intermediate Aquifer System

The intermediate aquifer system comprises all sediments present between the base of the surficial aquifer system and the top of the Floridan aquifer. The stratigraphic units that form the intermediate aquifer system at the project site consist of the Buckingham Marl Member of the Tamiami Formation, Peace River Formation of the Hawthorn Group, and the upper 183 feet of the Arcadia Formation of the Hawthorn Group. The principal hydrostratigraphic units that occur within this interval are the Sandstone and mid-Hawthorn aquifers.

The Buckingham Marl Member of the Tamiami Formation is comprised of gray to green lime mud that typically contains variable amounts of fossil shell, phosphate grains, and quartz sand. The permeability of the unit is generally low. However, lenses of moldic limestone that yield moderate amounts of water are interbedded with the marl in some parts of the region (Weinberg and Cowart, 2001). The Buckingham Marl at the test core site is primarily composed of moldic limestone (wackestone) and extends from 1.5 to 12 feet below land surface (bls). The marly limestone has fair to poor apparent permeability and forms the uppermost confining unit below the surficial aquifer system.

The Peace River Formation of the Hawthorn Group consists of clastic sediments and subordinate amounts of carbonate rocks (Scott, 1988). The formation is approximately 285 feet thick at the project site and is directly underlain by carbonate rocks of the Arcadia Formation.

The upper part of the Peace River Formation at the project site, between the depths of 12 and 65 feet bls, consists of greenish gray to light gray dolomitic clay with variable amounts of fine quartz sand, phosphatic sand, silt, pebble-sized quartz and phosphate, quartz sandy limestone, and shell fragments. These clayey sediments extend throughout much of Lee County and northwestern Hendry County, and are informally referred to as the Cape Coral Clay. The Cape Coral Clay also confines the base of the surficial aquifer system.

The Sandstone aquifer consists of an upper clastic section and an underlying carbonate unit. The clastic sediments include predominantly shell fragments, sand and pebblesized quartz, and phosphatic sand present between the depths of 65 and 115 feet bls. Most of these strata are reported to have excellent apparent porosity and permeability, particularly in the shell beds, as note in the lithologic log for CCBRY-1.

The carbonate section of the Sandstone aquifer extends from 126 to 187 feet bls, and is separated from the overlying clastic sediments by approximately 11 feet of finely phosphatic and fine quartz sandy clay, which forms a semi-confining unit in the area of the test core. The upper approximate 30 feet (126 to 157 feet bls) of carbonate rock consists of a grain-supported limestones (packstone) with good to fair moldic porosity and fair apparent permeability. A 16-foot interval, from 135 to 151 feet bls, was never recovered. The lower 30 feet (157 to 187 feet bls) of the unit consists of interbedded finely phosphatic and fine quartz sandy clay with shell fragments and medium to coarse quartz sand with fine to medium coarse phosphate. The lowermost few feet of the unit consists of a fossil packstone exhibiting fair moldic and interparticle apparent porosity and fair to poor apparent permeability. A 5-foot interval, from 180 to 185 feet bls, was never recovered.

The basal strata of the Peace River Formation, between 187 and 297 feet bls, consists of low permeability, greenish gray fine quartz sandy clay interbedded with clayey sand, claystone, and fine quartz sandstone. Accessory components include silt, phosphatic sand, and fossil shell. These fine-grained sediments confine the base of the Sandstone aquifer.

The top of the Arcadia Formation occurs at a depth of 297 feet bls at the test core site. The upper 118 feet of the formation consists of interbedded claystone, clay, marl, marly limestone, and siltstone. The limestone strata range from grain-supported to mudsupported rock (packstone to mudstone), with variable amounts of marl, shell, quartz and phosphatic sand. Carbonate rocks of the upper Arcadia Formation present in some portions of Southwest Florida represent an important water yielding interval that is informally referred to as the mid-Hawthorn aquifer. However, these strata at the test core site and in adjacent areas, typically have low to moderate permeability and are not considered to represent a productive aquifer.

Sediments of the Arcadia Formation present between 415 and 480 feet bls consist of interbedded, predominantly mud-supported limestone, clay, marl, and claystone. Accessory components include quartz and phosphatic sand, silt, fossil shell, lime mud, and rarely dolomite. These fine-grained sediments form the basal confining unit of the intermediate aquifer system at the project site.

3.3 Floridan Aquifer System

Miller (1986) broadly divides the Floridan aquifer system into upper and lower carbonate units that have good overall water-yielding properties (upper and lower Floridan aquifers). Intervening sediments of generally lower permeability (middle confining unit) separate them. The test core boring terminated in the upper Floridan aquifer (upper Ocala Limestone) at a depth of 1,012 feet bls. The stratigraphic units penetrated in descending order of occurrence consist of the lower 70 feet of the Arcadia Formation, Suwannee Limestone, and the upper Ocala Limestone.

The basal Arcadia Formation sediments that comprise the upper part of the Floridan aquifer at the test core site extend from 480 to 550 feet bls. The unit consists of predominantly light gray to yellowish gray, phosphatic, grain-supported to mudsupported limestones (packstone to mudstone), variably dolomitic and variably marly. The lithologic log for CCBRY-1 indicates that this limestone sequence exhibits moderate to low apparent permeability. The log suggests that the lower Hawthorn aquifer may not be very productive in this area. Specific capacity data and packer test results for the Lee County Utilities Olga ASR facility, located approximately 1.5 miles northwest of the project site, suggest that transmissivity there is on the order of 30,000 gpd/ft (Water Resource Solutions, 2000).

The top of the Suwannee Limestone was interpreted to be at 550 feet bls at the test core site, based on a sharp decrease in natural gamma activity below that depth. The thickness of this unit appears to be 347 feet, which is 57 feet thicker than estimated in the exploratory well EXBRY-1. The top of the Suwannee Limestone as estimated for CCBRY-1 is 80 feet shallower than that of EXBRY-1. East of the project site, the Suwannee Limestone thins considerably (SFWMD, 2002).

A review of the geologist's field log for the test core boring indicates that the Suwannee Limestone consists mainly of very light gray to yellowish gray, grain-supported to mudsupported limestones (grainstone to wackestone) that contain variable amounts of quartz sand, phosphatic sand, and marl. Light gray quartz sandstones appear interbedded with these limestones at the upper and middle sections of this unit. The apparent porosity and permeability of these rocks is reported to be moderate to low.

Results of the elemental capture spectroscopy log performed in the test core boring CCBRY-1 indicate that quartz sand is a major lithologic component of the Suwannee Limestone in the intervals from 560 to 660 feet bls, and from 730 to 830 feet bls. Logging results for the exploratory well EXBRY-1 show these two quartz sandy zones occurring in the intervals from 650 to 715 feet bls, and from 785 to 865 feet bls. These quartz sandy zones appear shallower and are thicker in the test core boring than those identified in the exploratory well. Interestingly, the thickness of the interval between the upper and lower quartz sandy zones in both the test core boring and the exploratory well is 70 feet. A comparison of the geologic data collected at the Berry Groves site and the geologic data collected from the Olga ASR project located approximately 1.5 miles north west of the Berry Groves site, shows the presence of two similar quartz sandy intervals within the formation. The upper interval, which ranges from 15 to 60 feet thick, consists of sandy limestone present near the top of the Suwannee Limestone. A deeper sequence of sandstone and poorly cemented quartz sand that ranges from 10 to 50 feet thick occurs near the middle of the formation. The apparent correlation of these two intervals at the Olga site with the results for the Caloosahatchee River ASR site suggests that the quartz sand deposits may have some lateral continuity (Water Resource Solutions, 2000).

The top of the Ocala Limestone is interpreted to be at a depth of 897 feet bls at the test core site. This selection is primarily based on a general decrease in natural gamma ray activity below the contact with clay and marl interbeds present in the lower Suwannee Limestone. This compares to a depth of 920 feet bls interpreted at the EXBRY-1 well (Water Resource Solutions, 2004). This interpretation also agrees with the stratigraphic designations applied for the Olga site, which places the Ocala contact below a thin sequence of clay and marl at the base of the Suwannee Limestone. A sequence of marly limestone was reported at the base of the unit at the test core site, instead of clay or marl. Lithologic and geophysical logging data correlate well between the test core site and the exploratory well site in picking the top of this unit. The lithologic characteristics of the Ocala Limestone are similar to those reported for the Suwannee Limestone at the project site. The predominant lithology is very pale orange and yellowish gray, variably chalky, variably marly, grain-supported to mud-supported limestones (packstone to mudstone) with low apparent permeability. Minor amounts of quartz and phosphatic sand is reported present throughout the formation. The test core boring was terminated in the upper Ocala Limestone at 1,012 feet bls.

3.4 Site Data Summary

A review of the core samples collected during the course of this project with regard to suitability for selecting a zone for ASR, does not indicate an interval that would appear to support the desired flow of 5 million gallons per day that is desired for the pilot project. However, it should be noted that the gaps in the recovered core record do not preclude the existence of a flow interval with the appropriate characteristics.

Neither the geophysical logs nor the core data provide sufficient insight into the existence or location of a potential suitable flow zone for this project at this location. Based on recent results, it is suggested that an alternate site be selected at the Berry Groves site for additional exploratory work and evaluation. It is suggested that the proposed site remain outside the footprint of the proposed reservoir.

4.0 REFERENCES

- Miller, J.A., 1986, "Hydrogeologic framework of the Floridan aquifer system in Florida, and parts of Georgia," Alabama and South Carolina: U.S. Geological Survey Professional Paper 1403-B, 91 pg.
- Scott, T.M., 1988, The lithostratigraphy of the Hawthorn Group (Miocene) of Florida: Florida Geological Survey Bulletin No. 59, 148 pg.
- SFWMD, 2002, Class V UIC well construction permit application, exploratory well at Berry Groves, Hendry County, Florida: 37 pg.
- Water Resource Solutions, 2000, Completion report for Lee County Utilities observation well #1 (LM-6209) and #3 (LM-6615) at the Olga WTP site Lee County, Florida: Report to Hole, Montes & Assoc., 39 pg.
- Water Resource Solutions, 2004, Berry Groves Class V, Group 9 Exploratory Well EXBRY-1 Caloosahatchee River ASR Pilot Project Well Completion Report, 24 pg.
- Weinberg, J.M. and Cowart, J.B., 2001, "Hydrogeologic implications of uranium-rich phosphate in northeastern Lee County: in Geology and Hydrology of Lee County, Florida," Florida Geological Survey Special Publication No. 49, pg 151-165.

Water Resource Solutions PROJECT NAME: CERP ASR DWG. NUMBER: A-014792A11_1
DATE: 03/21/05

FIGURE 3-1. HYDROGEOLOGIC SECTION FOR THE CALOOSAHATCHEE RIVER SITE.

Table 2-1. A CHRONOLOGY OF FIELD EVENTS IN COMPLETING TEST CORE CCBRY-1

Table 2-1. A CHRONOLOGY OF FIELD EVENTS IN COMPLETING TEST CORE CCBRY-1

Table 2-2. CORE SAMPLES SELECTED FOR LABORATORY ANALYSES

NOTE: Selected core samples collected from clay(ey) intervals that have a high water content were carefully double wrapped and shipped to Wolf Technologies, Inc., for laboratory analysis, immediately after sampling to avoid dehydration. The selected rock core samples were wrapped, packed, and shipped out in a timely manner.

Table 2-3. CORE RECOVERY LOG

Table 2-3. CORE RECOVERY LOG

APPENDIX 2-1

GEOLOGIST'S LOGS

Depth Lithology

- 0-1.5 Sand, dark yellowish orange (10YR 6/6), clayey fine quartz, silty, fair porosity, fair to poor apparent permeability
- 1.5-12 Limestone, very pale orange (10YR 8/2), wackestone, moderately well indurated, silty, good to fair moldic porosity, fair apparent permeability
- 12-22 Clay, greenish gray (5GY 6/1), fine quartz sand, soft to firm, silty, phosphatic (very fine to coarse), poor apparent permeability
- 22-38 Clay, greenish gray (5GY 6/1), fine quartz sand, firm to stiff, silty, sticky, variably finely phosphatic, poor apparent permeability
- 38-40 Limestone, yellowish gray (5Y 7/2), wackestone, fine to coarse quartz sand, moderately well indurated, good to fair moldic porosity, fair to poor apparent permeability
- 40-48 Clay, light gray (N7), fine quartz sand, soft to firm, finely phosphatic, common medium-coarse to pebble-sized quartz and phosphate, poor apparent permeability
- 48-65 Shell bed (whole and fragments, incl. Mollusks and Gastropods), yellowish gray (5Y 8/1), with thin interbeds of clay as above, common fine quartz sand, excellent porosity and excellent apparent permeability in the shell beds
- 65-90.5 Shell bed (whole and fragments, incl. Mollusks and Gastropods), yellowish gray (5Y 8/1), abundant fine to very coarse quartz and phosphate sand, rare pebble-sized quartz, excellent porosity, excellent apparent permeability
- 90.5-100.5 Sand, light gray (N7), medium to very coarse quartz, poorly sorted, subrounded, abundant medium to very coarse phosphate, excellent porosity, excellent apparent permeability
- 100.5-112 Sand, light gray (N7), quartz, medium to very coarse, poorly sorted, subrounded, common coarse to very coarse phosphate, occasional shell fragments, excellent porosity, excellent permeability
- 112-113 Limestone, yellowish gray (5Y 8/1), packstone, well indurated, sparry, fine quartz sandy, finely phosphatic, poor porosity, poor apparent permeability
- 113-115 Sand, light gray (N7), quartz, medium coarse to pebble-sized, poorly sorted, sub-rounded, common coarse to very coarse phosphate, excellent porosity, excellent permeability
- 115-126 Clay, greenish gray (5GY 6/1), soft, fine quartz sand, silty, finely phosphatic, poor porosity, poor apparent permeability
- 126-135 Limestone, yellowish gray (5Y 8/1), packstone, sparry, well indurated, very hard, fine quartz sand, finely phosphatic, fair moldic porosity, fair to poor apparent permeability, loss of circulation at approximately 134 feet bls
- 135-151 No recovery
- 151-157 Limestone, yellowish gray (5Y 7/2), fossil packstone, moderately indurated, common shell inclusions in rock (mollusks and gastropods),

Depth Lithology

rare very fine phosphate, good moldic and interparticle porosity, fair apparent permeability

- 157-160 Clay, light greenish gray (5GY 8/1), fine quartz sand, soft, marly, finely phosphatic, common shell fragments, poor apparent permeability
- 160-170 Sand, yellowish gray (5Y 8/1), medium to coarse quartz, sub-rounded, abundant fine to medium coarse phosphate, excellent interparticle porosity, excellent apparent permeability
- 170-180 Clay, greenish gray (5GY 6/1), very fine quartz sand, soft, silty, finely phosphatic, abundant shell fragments, poor apparent permeability
- 180-185 No recovery
- 185-187 Limestone, yellowish gray (5Y 8/1), fossil packstone, moderately indurated, finely phosphatic, minor very fine quartz sand, fair moldic and interparticle porosity, fair to poor apparent permeability
- 187-200 Clayey sand, light greenish gray (5GY 8/1), fine quartz, semiconsolidated, sub-rounded, abundant shell fragments, abundant fine phosphate, good interparticle porosity, fair to poor apparent permeability **Pilot-hole drilling ends, start of official coring interval**
- 200-225 Clay, light greenish gray (5GY 8/1), fine quartz sand, firm to stiff, silty, finely phosphatic, minor shell fragments, poor apparent permeability
- 225-230 Claystone, light greenish gray (5GY 8/1) to greenish gray (5GY 6/1), fine quartz sand, friable to moderately indurated, finely phosphatic, minor shell fragments, poor porosity, poor apparent permeability, interbedded with sandy clay as above.
- 230-232 Clay, yellowish gray (5Y 7/2) to pale greenish yellow (10Y 8/2), fine quartz sandy, firm to stiff, silty, finely phosphatic, common shell fragments, poor apparent permeability
- 232-236 Clay, light olive gray (5Y 5/2) to grayish olive (10Y 4/2), fine quartz sand, stiff, silty, finely phosphatic, poor apparent permeability
- 236-240 Clay, light olive gray (5Y 6/1), fine quartz sand, stiff to very stiff, silty, finely phosphatic, minor to abundant shell fragments, poor apparent permeability
- 240-245 Clay, greenish gray (5GY 6/1), fine quartz sand, soft to firm, phosphatic (fine to medium-coarse), silty, minor to common shell fragments, poor apparent permeability
- 245-250 No recovery
- 250-279 Clay, greenish gray (5GY 6/1), fine quartz sand, soft to firm, phosphatic (fine to medium-coarse), silty, minor to common shell fragments, poor apparent permeability
- 279-289 Sandstone, medium light gray (N6), fine quartz, poorly indurated, clayey, silty, finely phosphatic, minor to common shell fragments, good interparticle porosity, fair to poor apparent permeability
- 289-297 Claystone, pale olive (10Y 6/2), very fine quartz sand, moderately indurated, silty, finely phosphatic, minor to common shell fragments, fair

Depth Lithology

to poor porosity, poor apparent permeability

- 297-304 Claystone, light gray (N7) to light greenish gray (5GY 8/1), silty, moderately to moderately well indurated, shelly, finely phosphatic, very fine quartz sand, minor shell fragments, poor porosity, poor apparent permeability
- 304-304.5 Clay, greenish gray (5GY 6/1), silty, soft, finely phosphatic, common shell fragments, minor very fine quartz sand, poor apparent permeability
- 304.5-309 Limestone, light gray (N7) to light greenish gray (5GY 8/1), wackestone, moderately indurated, fossiliferous (incl. bivalves), clayey, finely phosphatic, fair moldic porosity, poor apparent permeability
- 309-320 Claystone, light gray (N7) to light greenish gray (5GY 8/1), silty, moderately indurated, very fine quartz sand, finely phosphatic, minor shell fragments, poor porosity, poor apparent permeability
- 320-325.5 Claystone, light gray (N7) to light greenish gray (5GY 8/1), poorly indurated, moderately silty, common very fine to fine quartz sand, common very fine to fine phosphate, minor shell fragments, poor porosity, poor apparent permeability
- 325.5-333 Marly limestone, very light gray (N8) to yellowish gray (5Y 8/1), mudstone to wackestone, poorly to moderately indurated, common very fine to fine quartz sand, common very fine to fine phosphate, minor shell fragments, trace moldic porosity, poor to moderate apparent permeability
- 333-340 Clay, light olive gray (5Y 6/1) to olive gray (5Y 4/1), stiff, slightly silty, trace very fine to fine phosphate, poor porosity, poor apparent permeability
- 340-343.5 Clay, light olive gray (5Y 6/1) to olive gray (5Y 4/1), stiff, slightly silty, minor very fine to fine phosphate, minor shell fragments, poor porosity, poor apparent permeability
- 343.5-353 Marl, very light gray (N8) to light greenish gray (5GY 8/1), moderately stiff, sandy, common very fine to fine phosphate, minor very fine to fine quartz, minor shell fragments decreasing with depth, poor porosity, poor apparent permeability
- 353-356 Marl, very light gray (N8) to yellowish gray (5Y 8/1), stiff, sandy, minor very fine to fine phosphate, trace very fine to fine quartz, minor shell fragments, poor porosity, poor apparent permeability
- 356-361 Marly limestone, very light gray (N8) to yellowish gray (5Y 8/1), wackestone, poorly to moderately indurated, common shell fragments, minor very fine to fine quartz sand, minor very fine to fine phosphate, minor moldic porosity, poor to moderate apparent permeability
- 361-378.5 Siltstone, light olive gray (5Y 6/1), poorly indurated, abundant very fine phosphate, minor shell fragments, trace clay, poor porosity, poor apparent permeability
- 378.5-380 Limestone, yellowish gray (5Y 8/1), wackestone to packstone,

Depth Lithology

moderately indurated, common shell, minor interbedded siltstone as above, minor very fine to fine quartz, minor very fine to fine phosphate, good moldic porosity, fair to good apparent permeability

- 380-387.3 Marl, light gray (N7) to yellowish gray (5Y 8/1), moderately stiff, common very fine to fine phosphate, minor limestone as above, minor shell fragments, poor porosity, poor apparent permeability
- 387.3-391.3 Siltstone, greenish gray (5GY 6/1), poorly indurated, abundant very fine phosphate, minor very fine quartz, occasional shell fragments, poor porosity, poor apparent permeability
- 391.3-399.6 Marly limestone, very light gray (N8) to yellowish gray (5Y 8/1), wackestone to packstone, poorly to moderately indurated, common very fine to fine quartz, minor shell fragments, trace very fine to fine phosphate, poor to moderate porosity, poor apparent permeability
- 399.6-412.4 Siltstone, light gray (N7) to light olive gray (5Y 6/1), poorly indurated, calcareous, abundant very fine to fine phosphate, minor shell fragments, trace very fine to fine quartz, poor porosity, poor apparent permeability
- 412.4-420 Sandy clay, olive gray (5Y 4/1) to dark greenish gray (5GY 4/1), very stiff, abundant ver fine to fine quartz, abundant very fine to fine phosphate, trace shell, poor porosity, poor apparent permeability
- 420-422.3 Marl, light gray (N7) to yellowish gray (5Y 8/1), stiff, abundant very fine to fine phosphate, minor very fine to fine quartz, minor shell fragments, poor porosity, poor apparent permeability
- 422.3-428.6 Marl, yellowish gray (5Y 8/1), stiff, sticky, minor very fine to fine phosphate, minor shell fragments, trace very fine to fine quartz, poor porosity, poor apparent permeability
- 428.6-434.7 Clay, greenish gray (5GY 6/1), very stiff, common silt, trace very fine to fine quartz, trace shell, poor porosity, poor apparent permeability
- 434.7-436.6 Clay, greenish black (5G 2/1), very stiff, minor silt, common shell at base, poor porosity, poor apparent permeability
- 436.6-439.6 Limestone, light gray (N8) to yellowish gray (5Y 8/1), mudstone to wackestone, moderately indurated, abundant shell, minor fine phosphate, trace medium light gray (N5) silty clay, poor porosity, poor apparent permeability
- 439.6-441.7 Silty clay, medium gray (N5) to light olive gray (5Y 6/1), friable, abundant shell fragments, common fine to coarse phosphate, minor lime mud, trace fine quartz, poor porosity, poor apparent permeability
- 441.7-447.4 Limestone, light gray (N7) to yellowish gray (5Y 8/1), mudstone to wackestone, moderately indurated, minor fine phosphate, minor shell fragments, poor porosity, poor apparent permeability
- 447.4-449 Clay, light gray (N7) to yellowish gray (5Y 8/1), soft, sticky, minor shell, minor very fine to fine quartz, minor very fine to fine phosphate, poor porosity, poor apparent permeability
- 449-451 Limestone, light gray (N7) to yellowish gray (5Y 8/1), mudstone to

- to wackestone, poor to moderately indurated, dolomitic, minor shell fragments, minor very fine to fine phosphate, poor porosity, poor apparent permeability
- 501-503.4 Limestone, light gray (N7) to yellowish gray (5Y 8/1), mudstone to wackestone, moderately well indurated, slightly dolomitic, trace shell fragments, trace very fine to fine phosphate, poor to moderate porosity, poor to moderate apparent permeability
- 503.4-513 Marly limestone, very light gray (N8) to yellowish gray (5Y 8/1), wackestone, poor to moderately indurated, common shell fragments, trace very fine to fine phosphate, minor moldic porosity, poor to

Depth Lithology

moderate apparent permeability

- 513-519 Marl, light gray (N7) to yellowish gray (5Y 8/1), soft, silty, common very fine to fine quart, minor shell fragments, trace very fine to fine phosphate, poor porosity, poor apparent permeability
- 519-522.5 Marly limestone, light gray (N7) to yellowish gray (5Y 8/1), mudstone to wackestone, moderately indurated, minor shell fragments, minor very fine to fine phosphate, minor moldic porosity, poor to moderate apparent permeability
- 522.5-524.7 Limestone, light gray (N7) to yellowish gray (5Y 8/1), wackestone to packstone, moderately indurated, common shell fragments, trace very fine to fine phosphate, minor moldic porosity, poor to moderate apparent permeability
- 524.7-527.8 Marly limestone, light gray (N7) to yellowish gray (5Y 8/1), mudstone to wackestone, moderately indurated, minor shell fragments, minor very fine to fine phosphate, minor moldic porosity, poor to moderate apparent permeability
- 527.8-530 Limestone, light gray (N7) to yellowish gray (5Y 8/1), wackestone to packstone, moderately indurated, common shell fragments, trace very fine to fine phosphate, minor moldic porosity, poor to moderate apparent permeability
- 530-545.5 Marly limestone, white (N9) to light gray (N7) to yellowish gray (5Y 8/1), mudstone to packstone, poor to moderately indurated, friable, minor shell fragments, trace very fine to fine phosphate, occasional moldic porosity, poor to moderate apparent permeability
- 545.5-548.1 Dolomitic limestone, medium light gray (N6) to light gray (N8), wackestone to packstone, moderately indurated, minor shell fragments, minor very fine to fine phosphate, poor to moderate porosity, poor to moderate apparent permeability
- 548.1-550 Marly limestone, white (N9) to light gray (N7) to yellowish gray (5Y 8/1), mudstone to packstone, poor to moderately indurated, friable, minor shell fragments, trace very fine to fine phosphate, occasional moldic porosity, poor to moderate apparent permeability
- 550-554.7 Sandy limestone, light gray (N7) to yellowish gray (5Y 8/1), packstone, moderately well indurated, abundant shell fragments, common very fine to fine quartz, trace very fine to fine phosphate, excellent moldic porosity, excellent apparent permeability
- 554.7-557.6 Sandstone, light gray (N7) to yellowish gray (5Y 8/1), moderately indurated, common very fine to fine quartz, trace very fine to fine phosphate, trace shell fragments, minor moldic porosity, poor to good apparent permeability
- 557.6-560 No recovery
- 560-564.4 Sandy clay, light gray (N7) to light olive gray (5Y 6/1), soft, sticky, abundant very fine to fine quartz, trace very fine to fine phosphate, trace

wackestone, well indurated, fine to very coarse-grained, fine quartz

Depth Lithology

sandy, finely phosphatic, variably chalky, variably moldic, poor moldic porosity, poor apparent permeability

APPENDIX 2-2

DIGITAL PHOTOGRAPHS OF RETRIEVED CORES

CORE PHOTOGRAPH FILE NOMENCLATURE

The file name for each core photograph is composed of the core number (number sequences of recovered cores), followed by representative depth of the top of the core photographed, followed by letters A, B, C….which represent sequential photo shots down the length of the core.

APPENDIX 2-3

SPECIALTY GEOPHYSICAL LOGGING PLOTS

