

# Regulation

<b>Success Indicator:</b>	1) 100% of all permit applications processed consistent with adopted rules and criteria
<b>Definition:</b>	Review applications for Environmental Resource Permits (ERP) and Surface Water Management Permits by applying the rules and criteria contained within Volume IV of the District's Permit Information Manual. The rules and criteria address flood protection, water quality treatment, and wetland protection (Chapter 373, F.S)
<b>Data Source(s):</b>	Volume IV of the District's Permit Information as required in Chapter 373, F.S.
<b>Reporting Period:</b>	Fiscal Year (October 1–September 30)
<b>Reporting Frequency:</b>	Annually, end of fiscal year
<b>Aligned Strategy:</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Adhere to all permit rules and criteria</li> <li>• Continue e-Permitting and electronic document management to increase efficiency of application submittal and review</li> </ul>
<b>Why Success Indicator is important:</b>	The objective of this program is to ensure that land development projects and dredge and fill activities do not cause adverse environmental, water quality, or water quantity impacts, as required in Chapter 373, Florida Statutes (F.S.)
<b>Example:</b>	<p>Calculated by taking the total number of permits issued by default divided by the total number of permits issued by fiscal year.</p> <p>Example: 6 divided by 2200 = .27 of 1 percent, or 99.73% issued on time meeting all rules and criteria</p>
<b>Target(s):</b>	100% of all permit applications processed with adopted rules and criteria
<b>Target definition source:</b>	Volume IV of the District's Permit Information, as required in Chapter 373, F.S.
<b>Subject matter expert(s):</b>	Anthony Waterhouse, Anita Bain

# Regulation

<b>Success Indicator:</b>	2) 100% of all permit applications processed consistent with adopted rules and criteria
<b>Definition:</b>	100%, measured as number of permits issued by default/number of permits issued
<b>Data Source(s):</b>	Volume III Basis of Review information manual as required in Chapter 373, F.S.
<b>Reporting Period:</b>	Fiscal Year
<b>Reporting Frequency:</b>	Annually, end of fiscal year
<b>Aligned Strategy:</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Adhere to all permit rules and criteria</li> <li>• Continue e-Permitting and electronic document management to increase efficiency of application submittal and review</li> </ul>
<b>Why Success Indicator is important:</b>	The objective of this program is to ensure safe, efficient, equitable and reliable development of the state's water resources (Chapter 373, F.S.)
<b>Example:</b>	<p>For a fiscal year:</p> <p>Number of permits issued = 2,200</p> <p>Number of permits issued by default = 6</p> <p><math>100\% - (6/2200) = 99.73\%</math> issued on time meeting all rules and criteria</p>
<b>Target(s):</b>	100% of all permit applications processed with adopted rules and criteria
<b>Target definition source:</b>	Volume III Basis of Review as required in Chapter 373, F.S.
<b>Subject matter expert(s):</b>	James Harmon

# Regulation

<b>Success Indicator:</b>	3) 100% of Request for Additional Information letters issued on time
<b>Definition:</b>	100%, measured as number of 30-day letters not issued on time
<b>Data Source(s):</b>	Permit database
<b>Reporting Period:</b>	Fiscal Year
<b>Reporting Frequency:</b>	Annually, end of fiscal year
<b>Aligned Strategy:</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Adhere to all permit rules and criteria</li> <li>• Continue e-Permitting and electronic document management to increase efficiency of application submittal and review</li> </ul>
<b>Why Success Indicator is important:</b>	This success indicator is to ensure that District permitting staff reviews applications for Environmental Resource Permits (ERP) and Surface Water Management Permits within mandated deadlines and applying the rules and criteria contained within Volume IV of the District's Permit Information Manual. The rules and criteria address flood protection, water quality treatment, and wetland protection (Chapter 373, F.S.).
<b>Example:</b>	<b>For Fiscal Year:</b> Total number of ERP applications received = 3,000 Total number of 30-day letters not issued on time = 7 Compliance = $100\% - (7/3000) = 99.77\%$
<b>Target(s):</b>	100% of all permit applications processed with adopted rules and criteria
<b>Target definition source:</b>	Volume IV of the District's Permit Information as required in Chapter 373, F.S.
<b>Subject matter expert(s):</b>	Anthony Waterhouse, Anita Bain

# Regulation

<b>Success Indicator:</b>	4) 100% of all permit applications processed with adopted rules and criteria within time defined by statute
<b>Definition:</b>	Number of days: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• From receipt of initial application to disposition</li> <li>• From receipt of complete application to disposition</li> </ul>
<b>Data Source(s):</b>	Permit tracking database
<b>Reporting Period:</b>	Fiscal Year
<b>Reporting Frequency:</b>	Annually, end of fiscal year
<b>Aligned Strategy:</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Adhere to all permit rules and criteria.</li> <li>• Continue e-Permitting and electronic document management to increase efficiency of application submittal and review.</li> </ul>
<b>Why Success Indicator is important:</b>	This success indicator is to ensure that District permitting staff meets mandated deadlines in reviewing applications for Environmental Resource Permits (ERPs) and Surface Water Management Permits and are applying the rules and criteria contained within Volume IV of the District's Permit Information Manual. The rules and criteria address flood protection, water quality treatment and wetland protection (Chapter 373., F.S.).
<b>Example:</b>	Permit issuance turnaround time: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• From receipt of initial application to disposition = 30.7 working days</li> <li>• From receipt of complete application to disposition = 15 working days</li> </ul>
<b>Target(s):</b>	100% of all permit applications processed with adopted rules and criteria within time defined by statute
<b>Target definition source:</b>	Volume IV of the District's Permit Information as required in Chapter 373, F.S.
<b>Subject matter expert(s):</b>	Anthony Waterhouse, Anita Bain

# Regulation

<b>Success Indicator:</b>	5) Construction certifications kept current and backlog processed by 2015
<b>Definition:</b>	Rule 40E-4.381(1)(f), Florida Administrative Code (F.A.C.), mandates that within 30 days after completion of construction of the permitted activity, the permittee must submit a written statement of completion and certification by a professional engineer
<b>Data Source(s):</b>	Permit database
<b>Reporting Period:</b>	Quarterly and annually
<b>Reporting Frequency:</b>	Annually, end of fiscal year
<b>Aligned Strategy:</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Adhere to all permit rules and criteria</li> <li>• Consistently address backlog of construction certifications until complete</li> </ul>
<b>Why Success Indicator is important:</b>	Confirmation that projects are built in compliance with permitted plans is essential to ensure water quality treatment, adequate flood control protection, and preservation, creation, restoration, and/or enhancement of natural systems
<b>Example:</b>	100% construction certifications completed within 30 days of construction; 12.2% of backlog processed
<b>Target(s):</b>	Complete 90% of current certifications and reduce backlog by 10% by year end; backlog to be done by 2015
<b>Target definition source:</b>	Volume IV of the District's Permit Information as required in Chapter 373, F.S.
<b>Subject matter expert(s):</b>	Brian Tilles

# Regulation

<b>Success Indicator:</b>	6) Minimum of 60% active Environmental Resource Permits inspected annually (both environmental and construction) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Achieve 75% compliance rate</li> <li>• Address 100% of major non-compliance issues with written correspondence within 15 working days</li> </ul>
<b>Definition:</b>	Rule 40E-4.381(1)(c), F.A.C., mandates that activities approved by the permit will be conducted in a manner which does not cause violations of state water quality standards. The permittee is required to implement Best Management Practices for erosion and pollution control to prevent violation of state water quality standards
<b>Data Source(s):</b>	Permit database
<b>Reporting Period:</b>	Quarterly and annually
<b>Reporting Frequency:</b>	Annually, end of fiscal year
<b>Aligned Strategy:</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Adhere to all permit rules and criteria</li> <li>• Continue to improve automated processes to capture field data in a more efficient manner</li> </ul>
<b>Why Success Indicator is important:</b>	Construction and environmental inspections by District staff during the construction phase of a project afford the District the opportunity to address potential violations prior to irreversible harm
<b>Example:</b>	By confirming that temporary erosion control measures are in place and operational prior to and during construction, District staff can ensure that state water quality standards are not being violated. Additionally, District staff can confirm that the project is being constructed in accordance with the permitted plans and that natural areas are being protected in accordance with the permit
<b>Target(s):</b>	Minimum of 60% active ERPs annually (both environmental and construction); achieve 75% compliance rate; address 100% of major non-compliance issues with written correspondence within 15 working days
<b>Target definition source:</b>	Volume IV of the District's Permit Information as required in Chapter 373, F.S.
<b>Subject matter expert(s):</b>	Brian Tilles

# Regulation

<b>Success Indicator:</b>	7) Net increase in wetland function
<b>Definition:</b>	<p>Chapter 62-340, F.A.C., Wetland Delineation, and Chapter 62-345, F.A.C., Uniform Mitigation Assessment Method, specify how the water management districts and the Florida Department of Environmental Protection are required to delineate wetlands and calculate mitigation requirements for wetlands</p> <p>Pursuant to Environmental Resource Permits:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Total acres reviewed</li> <li>• Total wetland acres permitted to be impacted</li> <li>• Total wetland acres preserved</li> <li>• Total wetland acres created/restored</li> <li>• Total wetland acres enhanced</li> <li>• Total acres upland compensation</li> <li>• Total number of mitigation bank credits</li> </ul>
<b>Data Source(s):</b>	Volume IV of the District's Permit Information as required in Chapter 373, F.S.; Environmental Resource Regulation Department Database
<b>Reporting Period:</b>	Quarterly and annually
<b>Reporting Frequency:</b>	Annually, end of fiscal year
<b>Aligned Strategy:</b>	Adhere to all permit rules and criteria
<b>Why Success Indicator is important:</b>	To ensure that all wetland impacts and mitigation are properly reported and meet the requirements of Chapter 62-345, F.A.C.
<b>Example:</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Total Acres Reviewed — 9,911 Acres</li> <li>• Total Wetland Acres Preserved — 3,208 Acres</li> </ul>
<b>Target(s):</b>	Report all wetland preservation, impact, and mitigation information for each ERP permit issued that involves wetland issues
<b>Target definition source:</b>	Volume IV of the District's Permit Information as required in Chapter 373, F.S., Chapter 62-340, F.A.C., and Chapter 62-345, F.A.C.
<b>Subject matter expert(s):</b>	Anita Bain

# Regulation

<b>Success Indicator:</b>	8) 100% of basin renewals implemented on schedule
<b>Definition:</b>	The renewal of all Individual and Major General Water Use Irrigation permits within the District boundaries began in 2003. The renewal process consists of the review and reissuance of the original permit, in accordance with current District rules, provided no changes in source, irrigated acreage, or allocation have occurred since the original permit was issued. If there is a change in source, land use, allocation, or acreage, then a modification to the permit is required. The District is divided into 15 Irrigation Permit Expiration Basins, and basin expiration dates span from 2003 through 2009.
<b>Data Source(s):</b>	Permit database
<b>Reporting Period:</b>	Quarterly
<b>Reporting Frequency:</b>	Quarterly
<b>Aligned Strategy:</b>	Adhere to all permit rules and criteria
<b>Why Success Indicator is important:</b>	To ensure that District permitting staff are meeting mandated deadlines
<b>Example:</b>	Kissimmee Basin B as approximately 133 permits to be reviewed in 2008
<b>Target(s):</b>	100% of basin renewals implemented on schedule
<b>Target definition source:</b>	Volume III Basis of Review as required in Chapter 373, F.S.
<b>Subject matter expert(s):</b>	James Harmon